

ආයතනයේ නම :- උපරිමාධිකරණ විනිශ්චයකාරවරුන් - ශීර්ෂ අංක 04
පාර්ලිමේන්තුවේ රජයේ ගිණුම් පිළිබඳ කාරක සභාව විසින් සභාගත කරන ලද
වාර්තා සම්බන්ධයෙන් ස්ථාවර නියෝග අංක 119(4) යටතේ ගරු අමාත්‍යවරයාගේ
නිරීක්ෂණ හා ගනු ලබන පියවර පාර්ලිමේන්තුව වෙත ඉදිරිපත් කිරීම.

නிறுவනத்தின் பெயர் :- உயர் நீதிமன்ற நீதியரசர்கள் - செலவினத் தலைப்பு 04
பாராளுமன்ற அரசு கணக்குக் குழுவினால் முன்வைக்கப்பட்ட அறிக்கை
தொடர்பாக நிலையியற் கட்டளை இலக்கம் 119 (4) இன் கீழ் கௌரவ அமைச்சரின்
அவதானிப்புக்கள் மற்றும் அது தொடர்பாக எடுக்கப்படும் நடவடிக்கைகளை
பாராளுமன்றத்துக்கு சமர்ப்பித்தல்.

Name of the Institution : Judges of the Superior Court - Head 04
Submission of observations of Hon.Minister and steps taken with regard
to the reports tabled by the committee on public Accounts in terms of
standing order No.119(4)

Parliamentary Series No. 183

Name of the Institution- Judges of the Superior Courts

Financial Year 2020

	Shortcomings identified by the Committee	Action taken by the Institution to rectify the shortcomings/current status
01	The Annual Action Plan had not been prepared.	The Annual Action Plan prepared in terms of the Public Finance Circular No. 1/2014 dated 17.02.2014 which is relevant for the year 2020 has been referred to the Government Audit Division of the Ministry of Justice on 03.03.2021.
02	Daily running records and monthly summaries of the pool vehicles were not submitted to the Auditor General	Actions have been measured to submit daily running records and monthly summaries for the pool vehicles from the year 2022.
03.	The Annual Performance Report had not been prepared within 150 days from the expiration of the financial year as per the Public Finance Circular No. 402 dated 12.09.2002 and it has not been presented to Parliament with a copy to the Auditor General.	The Annual Performance Report for the year 2020 had not been able to prepare in due period amidst the covid 19 pandemic that prevailed in the country in the years of 2020/2021, whereas the said report has been completed by June, 2022 and it has already been submitted in November, 2022 as to be presented in parliament.
04	All the staff members had not been assigned with their responsibilities in writing.	The officers who have not been provided with their responsibilities in writing will be provided with them.
05	The staff-related updated information had not been forwarded to the Department of Management Services within one month at the end of each quarter as per the Management Services Circular No. 04/2017 dated 20.09.2017.	By now, the staff-related updated information is being forwarded to the Department of Management Services within one month at the end of each quarter

On 23.01.2023
In the Supreme Court

Registrar-Supreme Court

Pradeep Mahamuthugala
Additional Magistrate
Registrar
Supreme Court
Colombo - 12,
Sri Lanka.

Parliamentary Series No. 183
Name of the Institution- Judges of the Superior Courts
Financial Year 2020

	Shortcomings identified by the Committee	Action taken by the Institution to rectify the shortcomings/ current status
1	There was no designated officer, tasked with the responsibility of furnishing information pertaining to the organization	Even though an Information Officer is designated for the Registry of the Supreme Court- Expenditure Head 234 as per the Right to Information Act, that is not applicable to the superior court judges.
2	There was no register maintained to keep records of information provided/ not provided in response to the requests made by the public	Not relevant
3	There were no records maintained to document complaints received about not furnishing information required by the public and to provide explanations on action taken with regard to such complaints	Not relevant
4	A human resource plan properly drafted in keeping with the provisions of Public Administration Circular No. 02/2018 dated 24.01.2018 was not available.	The Judges of the Superior Courts including the Hon. Chief Justice are appointed by the President of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka. The Registrar of the Supreme Court does not reserve the right to act on that regard.
5	The human resource development plan that had been prepared, did not provide for at least a minimum of twelve hours extra training for one year per employee.	There was no ability to provide foreign training opportunities during the covid - 19 pandemic.
6	Performance agreements covering the entire staff had not been drafted or entered.	Not relevant
7	A senior officer of the institution had not been named for the preparation of human resource development plans and to implement capacity and skill development programmes.	Not relevant
8	Out of the training opportunities planned, the extent of training opportunities granted, was less than 50%.	There were no training opportunities during the covid outbreak situation.

On 23.01.2023
In the Supreme Court

Registrar-Supreme Court

Pradeep Mahamuthugala
Additional Magistrate
Registrar
Supreme Court
Colombo - 12,
Sri Lanka.