



**TENTH PARLIAMENT**  
**of**  
**THE DEMOCRATIC SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF SRI LANKA**  
(FIRST SESSION)

**Parliamentary Series No. 250**

**FIRST REPORT**  
**of**  
**THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC PETITIONS**

Report for the period from 20.02.2025 to 31.05.2025  
(First Session) of the Tenth Parliament

Presented under Standing Order No. 125(5) of the Parliament of the Democratic  
Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka

**Presented by**  
**Hon. R. M. Gamini Rathnayake, M.P.,**  
**The Chair of the Committee on Public Petitions**

Presented to Parliament on 07<sup>th</sup> August 2025

Ordered to be printed by Parliament of Sri Lanka on 07<sup>th</sup> August 2025





**- Content –**

		<b>Page No.</b>
i	Composition of the Committee on Public Petitions	1
ii	Submission of Public Petitions to the Committee on Public Petitions for Consideration	3
iii	Role of the Committee on Public Petitions	3
iv	Functioning of the Committee on Public Petitions	3
v	Petitions Referred to the Committee on Public Petitions as of 31.05.2025	5
vi	Policy Decisions taken by the Committee	7
vii	Special points observed by the Committee	8
viii	Other Observations	9
ix	Recommendations	10





## **Report**

### **1. Composition of the Committee on Public Petitions**

The Committee on Public Petitions for the First Session of the Tenth Parliament consists of 29<sup>1</sup> Members of Parliament, none of whom are Cabinet Ministers. These Members of Parliament were nominated by the Committee of Selection in accordance with Standing Order 125(1) <sup>2</sup>of the Parliament of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka. The names of the Members of Parliament are as follows:

Pursuant to the resolution passed by Parliament on 09.01.2025 the following Members of Parliament were nominated to serve on the Committee on Public Petitions.

- 1 Hon. R.M. Gamini Rathnayake MP(The Chair)
- 2 Hon. Ajith Agalakada, MP
- 3 Hon. Upul Kithsiri, MP
- 4 Hon. Ajith Gihan, MP
- 5 Hon. Ashoka Gunasena MP
- 6 Hon. Dharmapriya Dissanayake MP
- 7 Hon. Nandana Pathmakumara MP
- 8 Hon. Nishantha Perera MP
- 9 Hon. Lieutenant Commander (Rtd.) Prageeth Madhuranga MP
- 10 Hon. Sunil Rathnasiri MP
- 11 Hon. ( Mrs.) A.M.M.M. Rathwaththe MP
- 12 Hon. Manoj Rajapaksha MP
- 13 Hon. ( Ms.) Ambika Samivel MP
- 14 Hon. Muhammad Faizal MP

Pursuant to the resolution passed by Parliament on 21.03.2025 the following MPP were nominated to serve on the Committee on Public Petitions.

- 15 Hon. Upali Samarasingha MP
- 16 Hon. Rohitha Abeygunawardhana MP
- 17 Hon. Dilip Wedaarachchi MP
- 18 Hon. K. Kader Masthan MP
- 19 Hon. Imran Maharoof MP
- 20 Hon. ( Mrs.) Rohini Kumari Wijerathna MP
- 21 Hon. Waruna Liyanage MP
- 22 Hon. U.P. Abeywickrama, Attorney at Law MP
- 23 Hon. Chaminda Lalith Kumara MP
- 24 Hon. M.A.M. Thahir MP
- 25 Hon. ( Dr.) Sandaruwan Madarasinghe MP
- 26 Hon. Chanaka Madugoda MP
- 27 Hon. ( Mrs.) Hiruni Wijesinghe, Attorney at Law MP
- 28 Hon. Jagath Vithana MP

---

<sup>1</sup> There is a vacancy in a member of Parliament representing the Opposition Party.

<sup>2</sup> According to the resolution passed in Parliament on 06.12.2024 and 19.03.20225, the no.of members of the Committee was 19 and 29 respectively

The Committee on Public Petitions held its inaugural session of the Tenth Parliament on January 23, 2025, and elected Hon. R.M. Gamini Rathnayake, MP, as its Chair. The Committee began hearing petitions referred to it, starting on February 20, 2025.

From February 20, 2025, to May 31, 2025, the Committee conducted 12 meetings and reviewed 70 petitions.

This report includes the Committee's final opinions and observations gathered during the hearings. **The Committee is submitting this report to the Hon. Speaker for further action under Standing Orders 125(6) and 125(7).**

**Standing Order 125(6)** “ *When the report is tabled in Parliament, the Speaker shall take steps to send the contents of such report to the relevant Minister for his responses to the observations. The Minister’s responses shall be forwarded to the Speaker in writing within a period of eight weeks*”

**Standing Order 125(7)** “ *Having received the responses from the relevant Minister, the Speaker shall forward a copy to the Chair and the Member of Parliament who has presented the petition whereupon the Committee shall consider the responses and, if not satisfied, shall request the Minister to take suitable remedial action. The Minister shall act as required within a month or else respond in writing as to why this is not possible, and indicate what alternative action he has taken to deal with the grievance under consideration. ”*



## **2. Referral of Public Petitions to the Committee on Public Petitions for examination**

If a public petition submitted by a Member to the Hon. Speaker under Standing Order 30(1) of Parliament is in accordance with the Standing Orders, the Member is permitted to present the relevant petition to Parliament with the approval of the Hon. Speaker, and the public petitions so presented are referred to the Committee on Public Petitions under Standing Order 30(10) of Parliament.

## **3. Role of the Committee on Public Petitions**

With regard to the petitions referred to the Committee on Public Petitions under Standing Order 125 (2) of Parliament, where the Committee on Public Petitions is of the opinion that a petition discloses an infringement of a fundamental right or other injustice committed by a public officer or an officer of a state corporation, local authority or other like institution as provided for in Standing Order 125 (3), the Committee on Public Petitions may inquire into such petition, or refer such petition to the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administration (Ombudsman) to inquire and report.

The Committee shall report its views to Parliament in accordance with Standing Orders 125 (4) and 125 (5) on the petitions so inquired .

## **4. Functioning of the Current Committee on Public Petitions**

Safeguarding the powers and trust vested in Parliament as the legislature by the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka and the Standing Orders of Parliament, where the relief sought by the petitioners through public petitions referred to the Committee is related to an infringement of a fundamental right or any other injustice committed by a public official or an officer of a state corporation, local government authority or other like institution, a report is called from the Ministry/Institution after considering the facts presented in the petition, and an extract of the report so called is sent to the petitioner and the views of the petitioner are also sought in writing.

After receiving written submissions from the relevant Ministry/Institution, the petitioner and the officials representing the Ministry/Institution alleged to have infringed the fundamental rights or committed any other injustice are summoned before the Committee and the petition is inquired into.

The Committee shall provide the Ministry with reasonable time for the submission of the report and the Committee informs the Ministry at the time the said report is called that it will have to consider the petition even without the said report, if any delay occurs in submitting the report by the Ministry, in order that the injustice caused to the petitioner is avoided.

If the Committee observes that the facts presented during the inquiry of the petition is insufficient, further inquiry of the petition shall be adjourned and both parties shall be informed to provide sufficient information and the Committee shall refrain from expressing its opinion until then.

The Committee has also allowed a defending officer to appear on behalf of the petitioner at his discretion, and if the petitioner fails to appear on the relevant inquiry date, the petition shall be inquired into unilaterally with the consent of the petitioner. In case where there is a reasonable cause for the petitioner to be unable to appear in person, another person authorized by him shall be allowed to appear on his behalf during the inquiry of the petition.

If the petitioner dies before or during the hearing of the petition, the Committee also grants permission for a legal representative to appear on behalf of the petitioner if requested.

The Committee decides to close the petition if the petitioner does not show due interest in pursuing the petition and does not confirm attendance at three hearings.

The Member of Parliament who submits the petition will also be allowed to attend the Committee on Public Petitions when the petition is being inquired into and will only be allowed to observe the relevant inquiry .

The inquiry will not be open to the media in order to protect the privacy of the petitioners and the Committee has also decided to refrain from reporting all the matters mentioned in the petitioners' petitions in its reporting.

The main objective of the Committee on Public Petitions is to declare in accordance with the existing legal provisions a reasonable opinion on the steps to be taken in case the Committee observes during the inquiry of the petition that the petitioner has been subjected to injustice to and to provide relief. The Committee also considers it as its aspiration to protect the fundamental rights of the people and prevent injustices committed against the people by the institutions empowered by the state machinery, to

prevent such injustices in the future, and to protect the rights of the people by submitting observations if the Committee observes that amendments are necessary in the administrative and legal provisions of the institutions aimed at the existing state machinery.

The Committee decided to report to Parliament the general observations made by the Committee while inquiring the petitions, and the Committee is of the opinion that the government institutions will take into account the points presented by the legislature and provide a more fair and excellent service to the public.

However, if, during the inquiry of the petition referred to the Committee, it is observed by the Committee that the relief sought by the petitioner cannot be granted due to any wrongful act or omission committed by the petitioner, the Committee shall not recommend the relief sought as its opinion regarding the steps to be taken in relation to the relevant petition and shall strike out /dismiss the relevant petition.

#### **5. Petitions referred to the Committee on Public Petitions as at 31.05.2025 and actions taken by the Committee**

Subsequent to the presentation of petitions by Members of Parliament in Parliament after the approval of the Hon. Speaker, the number of petitions referred to the Committee on Public Petitions as at 31.05.2025 is 385.

In inquiring into petitions referred to the Committee on Public Petitions, reasonable time is made available to the petitioners to present the facts with regard to their respective petitions . As the Committee examines the facts revealed and submissions made during the inquiry of petitions, and, where necessary, makes considerations, examines certain documents, books, and other records, the Committee has to spend a reasonable amount of time in a meeting to inquire into a petition and express a final opinion that the Committee agrees with after considering all the opinions analytically. Accordingly, the following matters were considered at the meeting of the Committee on Public Petitions held on Friday, 09th May 2025.

- a) The number of petitions received by the Committee on Public Petitions is numerically high
- b) It is practically difficult for the Committee to consider all these petitions

c) Appointment of sub-committees consisting of members of the Committee on Public Petitions in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 125 (8) of Parliament in order to take necessary further action on these petitions expeditiously,

Accordingly, in accordance with Standing Order 125 (8), three sub-committees consisting of members of the Committee on Public Petitions were appointed to conduct a preliminary investigation and submit an observation report. The sub-committees are functioning with the following members.

#### **Sub-Committee - I**

- (i) Hon. Dharmapriya Dissanayake, MP, (Chairman)
- (ii) Hon. Ashoka Gunasena, MP, Member
- (iii) Hon. Ajith Gihan, MP, Member
- (iv) Hon. Upul Kithsiri, MP, Member
- (v) Hon. Rohini Kumari Wijeratne, MP, Member

#### **Sub-Committee - II**

- (i) Hon. Manoj Rajapaksa, MP, (Chairman)
- (ii) Hon. Nishantha Perera, MP, Member
- (iii) Hon. Prageeth Madhuranga, MP, Member
- (iv) Hon. Hiruni Wijesinghe, MP, Member
- (v) Hon. Jagath Vithana, MP, Member

#### **Sub-Committee - III**

- (i) Hon. U.P. Abeywickrama, MP, (Chairman)
- (ii) Hon. Sunil Ratnasiri, MP, Member
- (iii) Hon. Ajith Agalakada, MP, Member
- (iv) Hon. Ms. Ambika Samivel, MP, Member
- (v) Hon. Chaminda Lalith Kumara, MP, Member

The Committee on Public Petitions has held 12 meetings to inquire into petitions as of 31.05.2025 and the number of petitions inquired into is 70.

Information regarding the 70 petitions examined, the relief sought, the observations made by the Committee and the recommendations of the Committee are set out in Annexure I.

**6. Policy decisions adopted by the Committee in relation to the first session of the 10th Parliament**

- (i) Not to consider petitions regarding the admission of students to Grade one in schools.
- (ii) Not to consider petitions once rejected by the Committee unless the Committee decides that they should be reconsidered.
- (iii) To investigate petitions on matters contrary to government policies without summoning the petitioner.
- (iv) To inform the relevant Member of Parliament of the date on which the petition is to be considered in cases where the Member of Parliament in charge of the petition is not a member of the Committee.
- (v) Not to act on petitions submitted to the Committee for the first time, regarding incidents that occurred 15 years before.
- (vi) To terminate the proceedings of the petition if the petitioner is not interested in taking the petition forward.
- (vii) To consider a petition without a ministerial report, where the report on a petition called from the relevant ministry is not received within three months.

## **7. Special Points observed by the Committee**

- (i) The Committee observed that there is an increase in the number of petitions received under public petitions, from the officers in the public service and the officers who have been granted retirement, with reference to discrimination.
- (ii) During the examination of petitions, it was observed that the necessary documents containing essential information regarding some petitioners were not in the custody of the relevant institutions and accordingly, it was noted that it was appropriate to pay more attention to the maintenance and disposal of the files of the State institutions.
- (iii) The delay in responding to official letters made it very difficult to rectify the errors and injustices and meting out justice at a later stage seemed extremely difficult. It was noted that the Ministry/Institution should respond/take action on the relevant matters within the due time.
- (iv) The approved criteria for awarding separate marks were not openly available related to structured interviews in the recruitment procedures.
- (v) Inequality in granting promotions and salary emoluments to the employees in the public service due to non-compliance with the instructions issued in the public administration circulars and the weaknesses and delays related to implementation. (non-compliance with the circular issued to those in the provincial public service).
- (vi) The Committee is of the opinion that the one-off ex-gratia allowance of Rs. 50,000/- should be increased for those who are not entitled to a pension.
- (vii) In cases where the definitions are ambiguous in various circulars, recruitment procedures, specifications of qualifications, the relevant issues should be resolved promptly at the Ministry/Institution level.

## **8. Other observations**

### **(i) Rejection of Petitions**

Since petitions submitted by petitioners are rejected due to not being as per Standing Order 30, it is appropriate for the petitioners to get informed about the correct petition form (Annexure-II) through the Members of Parliament.

### **(ii) Proposals regarding the amendments to the Standing Orders**

The following paragraph appearing in Standing Orders 30 (8) regarding petitions presented to Parliament;

“Every petition shall be signed by the Member in charge of it before it is presented, and shall be handed over to the Secretary-General at least two clear days before it is presented”.

### **Amendment**

That it should be amended to read as follows: “Every petition presented in the name of the Speaker shall be signed by the presenting Member, affixed his name/official seal and delivered to the Secretary General at least two clear days before it is presented”.

**1) Petitions for which recommendations have been given**

**Annexture I**

1. Ministry of Public Security and Parliamentary Affairs

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
01	<p>Mr. S.M. Weerakoon Banda</p> <p>COM/PP/2025/17</p> <p>Presented by Hon. (Mrs.) Rohini Kumari Wijerathna, MP.</p> <p>Investigated on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Ref : 01/02/03/01/2024</p> <p>Date: 03.04.2024</p>	<p>The petitioner has requested that the order of vacation of post issued on him for his inability to report for duty on the due date after obtaining 03 days' medical leave which he had been granted due to illness be cancelled and that he be reinstated and a pension be paid considering the period of service.</p>	<p>(a) That the period of active service is 20 years and 11 months.</p> <p>(b) That the petitioner has filed appeals (to the Public Service Commission and the National Police Commission)</p>	<p>It was recommended that he be reinstated and retired without paying the salary and other allowances in arrears.</p>
02	<p>Mr. R.P.K.A.W.K. Ranasinghe</p> <p>COM/PP/2025/22</p> <p>Presented by Hon. U.P. Abeywickrama, Attorney-At-Law, MP</p> <p>Investigated on: 20.02.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Ref: 02/03/23/21/2022</p> <p>Date: 23.12.2022</p>	<p>The petitioner has stated that, although it had been informed through letter No. NPC/GO/NGO/POL. VIC/06/27/2016 of the Secretary of the National Police Commission dated 2018.06.19 that the petitioner be promoted to the post of Assistant Superintendent of Police with effect from 2014.01.13, the recommendations of the Cabinet and the recommendation of the National Police Commission have been disregarded and the seniority of his post has been reduced</p>	<p>(a) That, giving promotions to one group of officers on a certain day and giving promotions to another group on a later date based on the same Cabinet decision has caused some injustice to the latter group.</p> <p>(b) Letter No. NPC/GO/NGO/POL. VIC/6/27/2016 of the National Police Commission dated 19.06.2018.</p>	<p>It was recommended that the petitioner should be appointed to the post of Assistant Superintendent of Police with effect from 13.01.2014 as per the letter No. NPC/GO/NGO/POL. VIC/06/27/2016 of the National Police Commission dated 19.06.2018 and that the promotions due from that date should be given accordingly with effect from due dates.</p>



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		from 13.01.2014 to 25.12.2016, and the petitioner has requested that arrangements be made to predate his promotion to the post of Assistant Superintendent of Police to 2014.01.13 and that he be granted the promotion to the post of Senior Superintendent of Police with effect from 13.01.2024.		
03	Mr. Ahmadu Jaan Rasool Jaan COM/PP/2025/241 Presented by Hon. Arun Hemachandra, MP, Investigated on 09.05.2025 Ministry Ref: 02/03/23/30/2017	This petition has been presented stating that the petitioner was sacked alleging that he had left the police post on 24.08.2011 without permission and by filing a case on false charges that he had been involved in committing a crime, and the petitioner has requested that he be reinstated, he be paid a pension or be provided with some other appropriate relief.	(a) That, although the petitioner was charged with misconduct and dereliction of duty, the petitioner has been acquitted of the charges of misconduct as per the judgment of the Trincomalee Court  (b) That no other incident of such conduct has been reported during the petitioner's long tenure of service	It was decided to recommend reinstating the petitioner without payment of salary in arrears.
04	Mr. R.M. Jayasundara COM/PP/2025/23 Presented by Hon. E.M. Basnayake, MP. Investigated on: 09.05.2025	The petitioner has stated that the disciplinary authority has issued him a disciplinary order for an offence that he had not committed and accordingly it has been ordered to defer 04 salary increments and	(a) That the petitioner has been unfairly treated in the disciplinary inquiry  (b) That a case has been filed against another officer in connection with the relevant incident	The Committee recommended that the penalty of suspension of 02 salary increments be renounced and that the petitioner be acquitted of the charges.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Ministry Ref: 01/02/03/21/2023	to recover an amount of Rs. 30,000 from the petitioner, and that on an appeal made to the National Police Commission, the Commission has reduced it to 02 salary increments, and consequently he has remained in the rank of Sergeant for 17 years, and the petitioner has requested that the penal order currently in force be completely revoked.		
05	<p>Mrs. G.P. Thebulandage</p> <p>COM/PP/2025/176</p> <p>Presented by Hon. Chandana Sooriyaarachchi, MP.</p> <p>Investigated on 09.05.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Ref: 02/03/23/19/2020</p>	<p>The petitioner has stated in her petition that she got one-year unpaid leave approved again after the two-year unpaid leave, which had been approved, had ended, and that although she reported back for duty on 15.02.1996, she was not allowed to report for duty stating that an order of vacation of post had been issued, and that, on her appeal, the Public Service Commission reinstated her and imposed a penalty of deferring one salary increment, and that the then Director - Discipline and Conduct ordered the deferment of 3 salary increments, and has requested that the penalty of deferment</p>	<p>(a) The documents which prove that the petitioner has made the relevant requests for extension of her foreign leave by another year within the prescribed period.</p> <p>(b) The fact that it has been stated in the letter sent by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Director - Public Relations to the Senior DIG (Administration) that the order of vacation of post has been issued due to an oversight in preparing the documents.</p> <p>(c) There has been an oversight in approving the leave at the office-level.</p>	<p>The Committee recommended that —</p> <p>(a) the period from 25.02.1995 to 14.11.1996 should be treated as active service without arrears of salary,</p> <p>(b) The order that has been issued for the suspension of salary increments should be revoked.</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		of salary increments be renounced and that the period from 25.02.1995 to 14.11.1996 be calculated as active service.		
<b>Ministry of Public Administration, Provincial Councils and Local Government</b>				
06	<p>Mr. Dadallage Munidasa Mendis</p> <p>COM/PP/2025/02</p> <p>Presented by Hon. Ravi Karunanayake, M.P.,</p> <p>Investigated on 2025.02.25.</p> <p>Ministry Ref: No. of the Letter: HA/05/07/03/30</p>	<p>The petitioner has stated that, after the Paddy Marketing Board had closed down, families of seven persons including himself, who had been employed in the Paddy Marketing Board, had been residing in houses belonging to the Board for about 30 years with proper permission, and that, as there was no proper procedure to provide those houses to him and to the other families who had been residing in those houses since 1972, he submitted the petition dated 23.06.2009 to the Parliamentary Committee on Public Petitions which was investigated by the said Committee at its meeting on 10.04.2015 after which a recommendation was issued by the Committee to provide the petitioner and the other families with alternative lands in an area close to the town instead of those houses and lands in which they were residing</p>	<p>(i)The Commissioner General of Lands has informed the Ambalantota Divisional Secretary that it is appropriate to provide alternative lands to the residents according to a proper methodology, as recommended by the Hambantota District Coordination Committee.</p> <p>(ii)That, on the notification of the Commissioner General of Lands, 08 eligible persons have already been selected to be provided with alternative residential lands after examining the basic qualifications of the residents as per Circular 2008/4, and that the petitioner is also included in this list.</p> <p>(iii)In the letter dated 2023.11.08 of the Urban Development Authority, a request</p>	<p>It was recommended that steps should be taken to provide alternative lands for residence to the petitioner and to the selected eligible persons.</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		<p>because the area where those houses and lands are located has been included in the town plan of the Urban Development Authority, and that, however, the Paddy Marketing Board has now decided to provide the ownership of the said lands and houses to the petitioner and to the said families, but the decision that has been made earlier by the Committee has now become an impediment for them to claim the ownership of those lands, and the Ambalantota Divisional Secretariat refuses to give the ownership of the said lands and houses to him and to the said families because of the previous decision of the Committee, and therefore the petitioner has requested through his petition that the decision made by the Committee on Public Petitions at its meeting on 10.04.2015 be revoked and relief be provided to him and to the other families.</p>	<p>has been submitted to the Divisional Secretary to hand over the land to the Urban Development Authority as it is a land with commercial value and to provide alternative lands to the residents.</p>	
07.	<p>Mr.W.M.W.G. T.Bandara Wijeratne.COM/ PP/2025/19. Submitted by Hon.Mrs.Rohini Kumari</p>	<p>The petition has been submitted, requesting to be granted the position of Provincial Motor Vehicle Driver Grade III. He states</p>	<p>(a) Management Services Circulars, 01/2020 and 02/2020 were observed. (b) That it is a policy decision, taken by the</p>	<p>It was recommended to the Secretary of the Central Provincial Public Service Commission to give priority to the</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Wijeratne, MP. Reviewed on 25.02.2025. Ministry Reference : Letter No. : PL/COM/PP/2020/25.	that he was informed that he has been selected for the Central Provincial Motor vehicle Driver Service-Grade III(through an open competitive examination) by the Central Provincial Public Service Commission, by the letter.No.CPC/SPC/28/19/201, dated 20.11.2019 and that the appointment letters will be granted on 27.11.2019 . But later the issuance of the appointment letters has been temporarily suspended.	government. (c)That the petitioner has been subjected to injustice as calling letters have been issued for the appointment of the candidates after conducting the written,practical tests and the interview at the time that the relevant policy decisions had been sent to the relevant institutions ,in writing.	petitioner who passed the open examination, with high marks, but who was not appointed during the recruitments.
08.	Mr.U.W.A. Piyasiri COM/PP/2025/48. Submitted by Hon.Nihal Galappaththi, MP. Reviewed on 2025.02.25. Ministry Reference :Letter No. PL/613 COM/PP/20t912384.	He had been recruited to the Beliatta Pradeshiya Sabha on a contract basis since 15.03.1996 .And he was confirmed in the post of the Office Assistant on 01.01.2013, after a service period of 15 years and 3 months and was sent on retirement from the service on 05.12.2017 upon reaching the age of 60 years. He has requested that the arrangements be made to receive his pension since he has not received his pension.	(a)The Contributions made to the Employees' Provident Fund (EPF) or Public Service Provident Fund (PSPF) during the period of casual, substitute and contract service, can therefore be taken into account , when absorbing into the permanent service. (b)The policy decisions taken by the government.	It is recommended that the arrangements be made to provide a pension that does not clash with the rules governing the retirement of public servants, considering the service that has been rendered for the government.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
09.	Mrs.Rasika Vibhodani Raigam Bandara. COM/PP/2025/37. Submitted by Hon.Ratna Gamage, MP. Reviewed on 11.03.2025. Ministry Reference: IAD/EST/GA15/PP-GNRL 2022.	She has stated that she joined the Kalutara Municipal Council as a Pre-school supervisor in October 2012 and has been working in the Kalutara Municipal Council pre-schools for 9 years so far. She also stated that she was registered as a qualified teacher as per the Western Pre-School Charter in 2013, but her service has not been confirmed until today , and she requests that her service be confirmed.	(a) Since 2012 she has been serving the Kalutara Municipal Council for a long time as a pre-school teacher (b) that approval has been received for 03 pre-school assistant posts within the Kalutara Municipal Council staff.	It was recommended to conduct a proper examination to determine whether the petitioner met the qualifications required for the said position and that, she be granted a permanent appointment, if she met those qualifications.
10.	Mrs.K.P.W.G. Gnanalatha. COM/PP/2025/54. Submitted by Hon. Jagath Manuwarn, MP. Reviewed on 11.03.2025. Ministry Reference Pns/P5/01/94.	This petition has been submitted requesting that the pension and gratuity which she was deprived of be granted, as she was confirmed in the post of Library Assistant under the Widows' and Orphans' Pension Scheme under the number ඩී.අ.වී.ඩී. F/040574, had been collected for almost 14 years from 14.09.2007, and although she was over 45 years old at the time of receiving a permanent appointment, she was 39 years old at the time of being appointed to the substitute post.	That the Cabinet of Ministers has taken a decision in the year 2024 (12th August 2024 and 23rd December 2024) to grant pension rights to employees serving in Provincial Councils who were appointed after the age of 45 years, and that the Secretary to the Ministry of Public Administration, Provincial Councils and Local Government has informed all Provincial Chief Secretaries by letter dated 30.12.2024 to take necessary steps in	It was observed that the petitioner has the necessary qualifications to obtain her pension entitlement in accordance with the decision of the Cabinet of Ministers and it was recommended that the necessary arrangements be made to do so promptly.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			accordance with that decision, and accordingly, her name has been submitted as the 152nd in the list of names submitted by the Central Provincial Council.	
11.	Mrs.H.H.Swarna COM/PP/2025/ 134. Submitted by Hon.L.M.Abeywi ckrama, MP. Reviewed on 11.03.2025. Ministry Reference: PL/6/3/5/com/p/2 016/735.	The land belonging to petitioner, which is adjacent to the main road, has been acquired twice for road development without any compensation, and he has attempted to construct a shop on the remaining small piece of land, and although permission has been obtained from the Road Development Authority for the construction of the building, it has not been possible to obtain permission from the Kirinda Puhulwella Pradeshiya Sabha to construct the necessary building for the shop, and this petition has been submitted requesting intervention to construct the shop on a non-binding agreement.	(a)An on-site inspection of the petitioner's land was conducted by a Technical Service Officer (Civil) of the Pradeshiya Sabha on 23.09.2019 and the observations in that report. (b) That although the Road Development Authority recommended in 2014 as per the criteria, the petitioner's land does not comply with the current parameters and therefore, as per her request, approval has not been granted for a permanent construction on that land (c) That during the widening of the Matara-Hakmana road, which was a B grade road at that time, no compensation was paid to the landowners on both sides of the	The Committee recommended that a report be submitted to the Committee on the Deed of Gift No. 12755 submitted by the petitioner H.H. Swarna and that a report be called from the Kirinda Puhulwella Divisional Secretary on whether there is a suitable land within the jurisdiction of the Kirinda Puhulwella Divisional Secretary to be provided to the petitioner for the construction of a business premises and that a temporary permission be granted to the petitioner to keep a mobile commercial vehicle (with wheels) on her land, subject to the due approvals of the Road Development Authority and the Kirinda Puhulwella Pradeshiya Sabha.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			road, and that lands were acquired for development purposes with their written consent.	
12.	Mr. Ariyadasa Godagama COM/PP/2025/75. Submitted by Hon. Gayantha Karunathilaka, MP. Reviewed on 20.05.2025. Ministry Reference: PL/7/4/5/2-2024	Since 2019, the Nagoda Pradeshiya Sabha has been notified of a danger posed to their building, property, and human lives by three Nedun trees and one Alstonia tree located adjacent to the "Meththa" Senior citizen organization's premises. Although they have ordered the removal of the trees on various occasions, such orders have not been implemented. Even though the Local Government Commissioner has given instructions to remove those trees with the assistance of the police on 23.05.2023, such order has also not been implemented. Therefore they have requested that the relevant decision be implemented.	(a) Evidence that there are 3 Nedun trees and a tall Alstonia (Firewood) tree mentioned by the petitioner near the relevant building (b) The Secretary of the Galle Nagoda Pradeshiya Sabha participated in an on-site inspection on 09.05.2025  (c) That the building owned by the Senior Citizens' Organization is not a building constructed with the proper approval of the Pradeshiya Sabha  (d) the necessary powers to cut down and remove dangerous trees have been vested in the Pradeshiya Sabha by Section 107 (1) of the Pradeshiya Sabha Act, No. 15 of 1987, but the petitioner has to submit an application for that.	(a) To take immediate action to obtain the proper approval of the Galle Nagoda Pradeshiya Sabha for the building owned by the 'Meththa' Senior Citizens' Organization, which is currently being operated as an unauthorized building, and to submit the relevant documents to the Pradeshiya Sabha within two (02) months (b) That an application should be submitted to cut down the trees adjacent to the building as per the notification made by the Secretary of the Pradeshiya Sabha c) to take the necessary steps immediately after the petitioner submits the relevant application to the Secretary of the Galle Nagoda Pradeshiya Sabha to obtain the approval of the Pradeshiya Sabha for the construction of the building, d) To take the necessary steps to be taken



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
				on priority after the petitioner submits an application for the cutting of trees. e)The relevant officers were further instructed to take steps to implement the recommendations/reliefs made by the Committee in relation to this petition submitted by a senior citizen organization, giving priority to it.
<b>Ministry of Energy</b>				
13	<p>Mr. B.A.G.Perera COM/PP/2025/ 08</p> <p>Submitted by Hon.Mahinda Jayasinghe,M.P.</p> <p>Investigated on 25.02.2025 and 10.04.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Reference: විබ/පා/12/පෙත්ස ම/2019-02</p>	<p>He stated that he had served in the Ceylon Electricity Board (CEB) for 20 years on two occasions and had retired on 30.11.2015, and that he had not received his pension. Hence, He has requested that arrangements be made to adjust his pension.</p>	<p>On behalf of the employees of the Ceylon Electricity Board from serial numbers 13 to 19,the Committee makes the following observations.</p> <p>(a)That, having considered the precedents relating to the recommendations made by the Parliamentary Petitions Committee on several occasions over the years, and having considered the recommendations made, it has been recommended to the Chairman of the Ceylon Electricity Board to submit a board paper and take steps to grant pension rights to these petitioners as well, as other employees who previously served in</p>	<p>On behalf of the employees of the Ceylon Electricity Board from serial numbers 13 to 19,the Committee makes the following recommendations.</p> <p>The Committee recommended that a request be made to the Secretary to the Ministry of Energy with the consent of the Board of Directors of the Ceylon Electricity Board, and approval should be obtained through a Cabinet paper to grant pension rights to the seven (07) petitioners including this petitioner, whose service period is fragmented but whose</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			<p>the Ceylon Electricity Board have been granted pension rights under similar conditions.</p> <p>(b) Although board papers have been prepared and submitted to obtain approval to implement those recommendations, the Board of Directors has refused to implement those recommendations since those recommendations are contrary to the Pension Regulations of the Ceylon Electricity Board.</p> <p>(c) The principle of equal treatment before the law has been violated in relation to the petitioners and that different employees have been treated differently in relation to the same request.</p>	<p>total service period is more than 240 months, and who are not currently receiving pensions.</p> <p>When presenting the Cabinet paper, the Committee further stated that the matter should be referred to the Attorney General for his review, and that necessary provisions should be formulated to determine the course of action to be followed in the event of similar requests being made by employees of the Ceylon Electricity Board in the future.</p>
14	<p>Mr.Edward Jans COM/PP/2025/ 13</p> <p>Submitted by Hon.Stepni Fernando,M.P.</p>	<p>The petitioner stated that he had joined the service of the Sri Lanka Electricity Board as a Casual Office Labourer on 13.03.1973 and</p>	-do-	-do-

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	<p>Investigated on 19.03.2025 and 10.04.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Reference: විබ/පා/6/පෙක්සම්-2020-02</p>	<p>resigned from the service with effect from 08.12.1986 due to a personal reason. However, he has been reinstated with effect from 01.01.2001 on the recommendation of the Committee on Political Victimization held in 2000.</p> <p>Therefore, the petitioner submits that upon the aggregation of his two periods of service, his total service period exceeds 26 years, and accordingly seeks the grant of a pension, drawing reference to precedents wherein pensions have been awarded on the basis of combined periods of service.</p>		
15	<p>Mr.M.K.Ariyarat hne COM/PP/2025/35</p> <p>Submitted by Hon.Rathna Gamage,M.P.</p> <p>Investigated on 19.03.2025 and 10.04.2025.</p>	<p>The petitioner has joined the Ceylon Electricity Board as an Office Labourer in 1978 and resigned for political reasons after serving for 8 years and 8 months. Later, he has been reinstated in 2001 on the recommendation of the Committee on Political Victimization and retired upon reaching the age of 60.</p>	-do-	-do-

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Ministry Reference: විබ/පාලන/6/පෙ න්සම්/18-15	The petitioner submits that he has been aggrieved by the failure to consider his two relevant periods of service when calculating eligibility for a pension, and requests that the necessary arrangements be made to enable him to receive his pension.		
16	Mr.WD Wickramanayake COM/PP/2025/ 122  Submitted by Hon.Kanchana Welipitiya, M.P.  Investigated on 10.04.2025.  Ministry Reference: විබ/පා/12/පෙන්ස ම්-2019-05	He stated that he had worked as a Labourer in Department of Government Electrical Undertakings since 1967 and had been appointed as a Grade 2 Electrician in 1980. In addition, he informed that he had gone abroad in 1982, but had been reinstated as a new employee in 1985. He also added that he had gone abroad again in 1992, reported to work in 1996 and retired in 2003, as before. The petitioner, who states that he had approximately 30 years of service at that time, has requested that steps be taken to provide him with the pension he is entitled to.	-do-	-do-

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
17	<p>Mr.K.S.Athula COM/PP/2025/ 125</p> <p>Submitted by Hon.Devananda Suraweera,M.P.</p> <p>Investigated on 19.03.2025 and 10.04.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Reference: විබ/පා/12/පෙන්න ම-2021-03</p>	<p>He stated that he had been posted to a Labourer position in the Department of Government Electrical Undertakings since 15.11.1965 and promoted to a grade 3 van driver since 02.05.1975 after being absorbed into the Ceylon Electricity Board with effect from 01.11.1969. He also stated that although he had requested unpaid leave to go abroad for work, he had not received a response until after he had left the country.He added that he had been issued with an order to leave the service with effect from 18.10.1982. However, according to him, he has requested to be reinstated in the service upon his return to Sri Lanka. Accordingly, he was reappointed and retired after attaining the age of 60 years, and 13 days were missing from completing 20 years of service to be entitled to a pension. Hence, since his total service period is about 33 years, he has requested that he also be given the pension granted to</p>	-do-	-do-

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		those with more than 20 years of service.		
18	<p>Mrs.K.R.P.Dool wala COM/PP/2025/143</p> <p>Submitted by Hon.Asitha Niroshana Egoda Vithana,M.P.</p> <p>Investigated on 19.03.2025 and 10.04.2025.</p> <p>Ministry Reference: විබ/පාලන/6/පෙ ක්සම්/2018-08</p>	<p>She stated that he had joined the Ceylon Electricity Board since 11.03.1985.</p> <p>She also stated that she had got married to a foreigner living in England in 2007, and had resigned from her job in 2008 to care for him as he had been suffering from kidney disease.</p> <p>She also stated that the Ceylon Electricity Board, considering her appeal to return to Sri Lanka and be reinstated, had given her a new appointment in 2010.</p> <p>The petitioner, who states that the Board of Directors of the Ceylon Electricity Board has rejected her requests to be given the grade she previously held and pension entitlements when she was appointed, requests that she be placed in the post of Clerk Grade I, given the relevant salaries and arrears, and that she be granted pension</p>	-do-	-do-

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		entitlement and arrears of pension, considering the entire period of service, by combining her service periods.		
19	Mr. B.D. Gunasekara COM/PP/2025/292 Presented by Hon. Asitha Niroshana Egoda Withana, MP. Investigated on 10.04.2025. Ministry Instructions: 31 /Control/6/Petition/31	The petitioner, who retired from the service of the Electricity Authority on 17 October 2010, stated that he had served from 01 April 1985 to 27 July 1987, and again from 01 January 1992 to 17 October 2010, amounting to an active service period of approximately 21 years. He further stated that, despite having applied for a pension, the files relating to his initial period of service could not be located, resulting in the denial of his pension entitlements. The petitioner contended that the custody of the said files was the responsibility of the Electricity Authority and cited precedents wherein pensions had been granted for equivalent periods of service. Accordingly, He has submitted this petition requesting that	-do-	-do-

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		he be granted pension and arrears from the date of his retirement, together with any other ancillary allowances to which he may be entitled.		
<b>Ministry of Education, Higher Education and Vocational Education</b>				
20	H. P. V. Quintus George COM/PP/2025/51 Presented by Hon. Ajith Agalakada, MP. Investigated on 2025.03.03. Ministry Reference No.: ED/02/29/03/02/429	As stated in the circular dated 2008/31, there is the possibility to consider him as a retired teacher and can be placed in the graduate salary scale based on his degree qualifications. He has requested that steps be taken to place him in the graduate salary scale based on his qualifications.	(a) That the Institute of Aesthetic Studies was converted into the University of the Visual and Performing Arts on 01.07.2005, and due to the problematic circumstances that existed during the period when it was an Institute of Aesthetic Studies, the University had given students the opportunity to appear for failed subjects at any time.  (b) Since the petitioner was an internal student and completed his internal degree in 2005 by appearing only for the subject in which he did not appear in the final year and since he completed the degree without causing any hindrance to his teaching service	The Committee recommended that necessary steps be taken to establish a graduate salary scale.
<b>Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Land and Irrigation</b>				
21	Mr.M.G.C. Harshan Wijeratne COM/PP/2025/	The Land Reform Commission has provided 02 acres of land	a) The Principal of Divulgaskotuwa Primary	The Secretary of the Ministry of Agriculture,



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	16 Presented by Hon. Mrs. Rohini Kumari Wijeratne, MP. Investigated on 25.02.2025. Ministry Reference No. : 2/15/27/204	to the Education Department in 1980 for the maintenance of the Divulgaskotuwa Primary School through a log book, but it has not been provided legally. The size of the school land has been decreasing day by day and although the necessary documents have been submitted to obtain it legally and all relevant parties have been informed about this, since the Central Provincial Valuation Department has not provided an assessment report and since the proposed land belongs to the Land Reforms Commission, it has been requested to make necessary arrangements to measure the land, prepare a plan and a deed through that commission.	School has been informed to take steps to forward a proposal to acquire the relevant land to the Ministry of Lands through the Line Ministry. (b) Although a proposal has been submitted to acquire the land, no further action has been taken so far. (c) That the Provincial Council did not have sufficient provisions to pay to the Land Reforms Commission. (d) This problem has remained unresolved for more than 40 years due to the lack of interconnection between government institutions, and the relevant handover should be carried out as soon as possible for the sake of future generations.	Livestock, Lands and Irrigation, the Secretary of the Land Reforms Commission, the Secretary of the Central Province Education, the Provincial Land Commissioner of the Central Province, the Galewela Divisional Secretary, the Galewela Zonal Education Director, the Principal of Divulgaskotuwa Primary School and the School Development Society of that school, all parties, discussed with the intervention of the Galewela Divisional Secretary and recommended that the relevant activities related to the acquisition of this land belonging to the Land Reforms Commission be completed and finalized for the MP/G/Divulgaskotuwa Primary School.
22	Mr.R. K. K. A. Nandasiri COM/PP/2025/45 Presented by Hon. Nihal Galappaththi, MP. Investigated on 2025.03.06. Ministry		a) The facts presented by the officials of the Sri Lanka Mahaweli Authority that the work is being carried out as per the recommendation given at the Committee on Public	In accordance with the recommendation made at the Committee on Public Petitions held on 20.06.2023, the Director General of the Sri Lanka Mahaweli Authority was informed that, , a

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Reference: Letter No.:MOI/AD/05/ 04    ඔ.ඔඔ.(01- 2022)		Petitions held on 20.06.2023.	survey should be conducted within a period of 02 months for the 67 perches belonging to the petitioner and a permit should be issued.
23	H.M.U. Herath Bandara COM/PP/2025/ 10 Presented by Hon. Kosala Nuwan Jayaweera, MP. Investigated on 2025.03.06. Ministry Reference : Letter No. :	The petitioner, who has stated that his father had two acres of farmland and three acres of paddy land in Galmatiyawa village, Thambalagamuwa Divisional Secretariat Division, Trincomalee District, and that he was unable to live in that area during the war, so he left the house where he was living and moved to Avissawella with his parents. After the war ended, he requested permission in writing from the Thambalagamuwa Divisional Secretary to move to the land he inherited in Galmatiyawa village, but the Eastern Provincial Assistant Land Commissioner has prohibited him from occupying the land, and that the ownership of that land has been transferred to someone else and they are using that land.	Recommendation given at the Committee on Public Petitions held on 08.03.2022	In accordance with the recommendation made at the Committee on Public Petitions held on 08.03.2022, it was recommended to immediately provide the petitioner with 01 acre 140 perches of built-up land and paddy land.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		The petitioner has requested that the land inherited from his father be given to him.		
24	Mr. M. P. Gunaratne COM/PP/2025/57 Presented by Hon. J.C. Alawathuwala, MP. Investigated on 06.03.2025. Ministry Instructions: Letter No.:	The petitioner states that he was appointed to the post of casual worker in the Mawathagama Govijana Seva Committee from 10.06.1985 and was made permanent in the post of labourer (Grade III) from 24.10.2014, and that he lost his pension since the permanent appointment was granted without considering the period of service on a casual basis, and that a petition was submitted to Parliament in this regard and a recommendation was made, but that recommendation has not been implemented. He requests that the necessary arrangements be made on compassionate grounds to grant his pension.	a) Recommendations of the Committee on Public Petitions held on 22.08.2023 in this regard b) Although the granting of permanent pensionable status was made under Public Administration Circular 25/2014, this group of employees did not belong to the service categories mentioned in Circular 25/2014. b) The presence of a large number of employees facing this situation	Due to the large number of employees facing this situation in the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Land and Irrigation, the committee recommended the Secretary of the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Land and Irrigation to prepare a Cabinet paper and submit it to the Cabinet for approval to collect their prior service on a casual basis and grant them pension benefits, affecting all those employees.
25	Mr. G.L.Piyasena COM/PP/2025/25 Presented by Hon. Sanjeewa	Has requested, since the relevant officials are taking steps to unfairly give a portion of the government land of F.V.P. 109 Meegahatenna, which	a) Report on the land survey conducted in accordance with the recommendation received at the meeting of the Com-	It was recommended that the illegally occupied portion of "B" measuring 33.6 perches should be taken over by the

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Ranasinghe, M.P., Investigated on 06.03. 2025  Ministry Ref. - Letter Ref. -	he inherited from his father, to the owner of the adjacent land, a fair investigation be conducted and arrangements be made to give him the entire land.	mittee on Public Petitions held on 10.11.2022 to survey the adjacent land belonging to Premawathi Kannangara and submit a report on the remaining land to the Committee.  b) The lands indicated as Section B are under unauthorized occupation.	government (Divisional Secretary) and given to the petitioner at the purchase price.
26	Mr. S.A.M. Nilam COM/PP/2025/38 Presented by Hon. Muneer Mulaffer, M.P., Investigated on 06.03. 2025  Ministry Ref. - Letter Ref. -	The petitioner has requested, whereas after he was reinstated in the Survey Department and retired after serving for 6 years, the government recovered the salaries he earned during that period; claiming that it was an irregular appointment, and the petition submitted to the 9th Parliament Public Petitions Committee requesting justice for this was examined and a cabinet paper was also submitted by the Survey Department to provide him relief, but no solution has been received so far, that the money recovered from him and the due pension be paid.	Following the investigation at the Public Petitions Committee meeting held on 05th June 2024, the officials of the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Lands and Irrigation submitted that, in accordance with the recommendation given to obtain approval through a Cabinet paper to regularize the appointment given by reinstating the petitioner, and to make arrangements for him to receive the full pension due for his full service period in accordance with the said approval, a Cabinet paper has been drafted in this regard and submitted	It was recommended to the Secretary of the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Lands and Irrigation to forward the Cabinet Paper without delay.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			for the Minister's approval.	
<b>Ministry of Health</b>				
27	Mr. N.G. Premachandra OMB/P/2/4/5483 /2023 The petitioner has submitted to the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs (Ombudsman). Investigated on 2025.03.11.-	The petitioners (Mr. N.G. Premachandra and Mrs. N. Kottahachchi) have retired from the Kalutara School of Pharmacy and have stated that their seniority was prejudiced by the promotion of a junior officer, namely the post of Doctor of Pharmacy, through the letter of the Secretary to the Ministry of Health No. TA/TUT/GEN/PSM & PARA/II/20180 and dated 2020.03.12, and that their fundamental rights have been violated. Therefore, this petition has been submitted to the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs (Ombudsman) on 2023.06.15, requesting that the injustice done to their seniority be remedied by giving them promotions retroactively and by making arrangements to pay the arrears..	a) The report submitted to the Committee by the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs b) The Committee observes that Mrs. U.D.J. Perera, who joined the service in 1994, was promoted to Grade I in 2002, and later deferred it to 1994 and paid arrears, thereby causing injustice to the petitioners who had joined the Kalutara Pharmacy School before her and were senior in terms of seniority.	The Committee recommends that necessary steps be taken to implement the recommendations (recovery of arrears of salaries paid) contained in the investigation report conducted by the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs (Ombudsman). The Committee further observed that since it appears that justice will be done for the injustice done to the petitioners by acting in accordance with the recommendations, there is no need to pay the arrears of salaries to the petitioners and it was stated to the Committee that the petitioners do not expect arrears of salaries.
28	Mr. D.N.			

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Kottahachhi OMB/P/2/4/5483 /2023 The petitioner has submitted to the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs (Ombudsman). Investigated on 2025.03.11.-	-do-	-do-	-do-
29	Mr. S. M. Piyathissa COM/PP/2025/89 Presented by Hon. Chathura Galappaththi, M.P., Investigated on 10.04. 2025  Ministry Ref. - MH/AD/01/06/01 /07/2017	Has requested to make arrangements to provide him with fair compensation since he worked as a nurse at the Padavi Sripura Rural Hospital in 1977, and the government which came to power then deprived him of his job based on a common decision, and although the government elected in 1995, with parliamentary approval, reinstated him along with a promotion considering the said period as active service, he has not received it so far.	(a) That in accordance with the Cabinet Decisions (No./AMA/96/0965/120/088 and dated 1996.06.05) to provide relief to government employees who were affected by political reasons after the 1977 General Election, it has been recommended that the petitioner be reinstated in service without arrears of salary with effect from 11.08.1977.  (b)that the petitioner has been aggrieved by the non-implementation of the Cabinet decision. (C)It is also stated that the recommendations made by the Committee on Public Petitions after investigating this petition on 7th	It was decided that the petitioner has been aggrieved by the non-implementation of the Cabinet decision and accordingly it was recommended that a fair compensation be provided to the petitioner. Accordingly, it was directed that the Governor of the Eastern Province be informed to take steps to provide fair compensation to the petitioner and that the relevant matters should be settled and that the Eastern Provincial Public Service Commission and the Eastern Provincial Ministry of Health should also take action in this regard.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			December 2021 have not been implemented.	
<b>Ministry of Defence</b>				
30	<p>Mrs. R.K. Ashoka Ranjani COM/PP/2025/133 Presented by Hon. Ajith P. Perera, M.P., Investigated on 14.03. 2025</p> <p>Ministry Ref. - MOD/DEF/GS/PC/SLA/58</p>	<p>Has requested to grant her husband the pension due to him kindly considering that her husband who served in the Sri Lanka Army for 15 years retired in 2002 and passed away on 22.06.2005 due to an illness, and although she submitted the necessary documents to receive the widow's and orphan's pension due to him, she was not entitled to it as the documents were submitted after the due date.</p>	<p>(a) that the petition was previously considered on 30.11.2021</p> <p>(b) In addition to the requests from the tri-forces, since applications have been submitted from other ministries and departments with delay as mentioned above, those requests should also be included in this and that information should be obtained from the relevant ministries and departments.</p>	<p>A recommendation was made to the Secretary of the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development to take a policy decision to, of those who have expressed their preference for the Widows' and Orphans' Pension Scheme, when granting pensions to the spouses of demised public servants who have served in all other ministries and departments, including the tri-forces, to consider only those widows and widowers who submitted their applications after the due date for obtaining pension entitlements, and to submit a Cabinet paper to obtain approval to pay widows' and orphans' pensions only to that group.</p>
31	<p>Mr. W.A. Chandrasoma COM/PP/2025/179 Presented by</p>	<p>Has requested that necessary arrangements be made to obtain his pension considering that he joined the Sri Lanka</p>	<p>a) The Cabinet Memorandum containing the proposals submitted regarding the granting</p>	<p>The Secretary to the Ministry of Defence was informed to submit a report to the Committee on the progress of the steps</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Hon. Dayasiri Jayasekara, M.P., Investigated on 14. 03. 2025 Ministry Ref. - Ministry Ref. - MOD/ PAC/05/PPC/2022/20	Navy Department as a substitute labourer on 05.12.1996, and after serving in the above position for almost nine years, was made permanent on 01.05.2005, and until he turned the age of 60 he completed a period of 14 years in permanent position and a total period of 23 years of service, and retired on 11.12.2019, and that during his service, he contributed to the Widows' and Orphans' Pension Fund, yet has not received his pension entitlement to date	of pension entitlements to civil servants recruited on casual/contract basis to the Directorate of Civil Administration of the Sri Lanka Navy and given permanent appointments after the age of 45 years has been forwarded to the Ministry of Finance and Planning by letter dated 14.03.2025.	taken to obtain the approval of the Cabinet and accordingly to grant the pension entitlement to the petitioner W. A. Chandrasoma.
<b>Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development</b>				
32	Mr. S.A.A. Priyantha COM/PP/2025/152 Presented by Hon. Ruwanthilaka Jayakody, M.P., Investigated on 08.04. 2025  Ministry Ref. - MPS/AD/07/04	Has requested, that the Secretary to the line ministry implement the directive given to the Chairman of Sri Lankan Airlines on 26.04.2018, and to be reinstated with arrears of salary as per the Cabinet decision, and that the opportunity be provided to properly grant him the promotions he is entitled to, taking into account his full service period, considering that he was dismissed because of political victimization while serving at Sri Lankan Airlines, and although		The committee recommended, without it being a precedence, to reinstate without arrears of salary.



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		he was supposed to be reinstated as per the decision taken at the Cabinet meeting held on 27.03.2018, it was not done.		
<b>Ministry of Transport, Highways, Ports and Civil Aviation</b>				
33	Mr. P.M. Jayantha COM/PP/2025/88 Presented by Hon. Chathuru Galappaththi, M.P., Investigated on 22.05.2025 Ministry Ref : MT/10/1/3/PP/2023/1161 Date : 06.09.2023	The petitioner who states that he joined the Sri Lanka Transport Board on 02.05.2000 and worked as a conductor at the Kataragama depot, and that a charge sheet was issued on 08.08.2019, a disciplinary inquiry was held, and a fine equivalent to one week's salary was imposed and a transfer was given to both him and the driver as a disciplinary order, in response to a complaint he had submitted regarding an argument that had taken place regarding something a driver had said to the Chairman when the Chairman had visited the Kataragama depot for an inspection tour, and that only his disciplinary order was implemented and the disciplinary order against the driver was not implemented, that he complained to the	(a) The petitioner was transferred to the Ambalantota Depot by a disciplinary order from the Kataragama Depot and is currently serving at the Ambalantota Depot. (b) The arbitration proceedings regarding one matter have been completed and a decision is expected to be made, while the other matter is pending in arbitration.	Since the petitioner's complaints are currently being heard in arbitration, the Committee informed the Commissioner General of Labour to make arrangements to conclude those proceedings expeditiously and publish those decisions. The Committee decided to consider the facts regarding those decisions and take up this petition for further consideration in the future.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		Department of Labor and the Human Rights Commission regarding the non-payment of salaries, allowances and holiday pay due to him at the time of working at the Ambalantota Depot to which he was transferred, but did not receive any relief, has requested in the petition that he be given the salaries, allowances and promotions he is entitled to.		
34	Mr.R.S.S.H.M. Dharmapala Herath COM/PP/2025/69 Presented by Hon. Darmapriya Wijesinghe, MP. Investigated on 22.05.2025 Ministry Ref : MTCA/10/1/3/97 Date: 26.12.2017	The petitioner, who states that he is a retired Station Master who has served the Railway Department for 39 years, and that although he applied for the posts of Assistant Transportation Superintendent and Investigation Officer/Inspector (Transportation) in 2005 and 2010, the Railway Department has misplaced those applications, and that the Cabinet has recommended that the petitioner, that is him, be given the post of Assistant Transportation Superintendent, but the Public Service	a) The petitioner submitted that the application submitted for the post of Investigating Officer/Inspector (Transport) in 2010 has been misplaced and that such misplacement is an error on the part of the Railway Department. b) Applied for the post of Investigating Officer/Inspector (Transport) in 2010) c) No applications were made for the position of Assistant Transport Superintendent in 2010.	The Committee decided that it was appropriate to grant a promotion to the post of Investigation Officer/Inspector (Transportation t) and recommended that a Cabinet paper be prepared and submitted for approval. The Committee further recommended that the position be granted to the petitioner in a manner that is unique to him and that this decision should not be a precedent in the future.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		Commission has rejected the same, and that the Railway Department has also admitted that an injustice has been caused to him, the petitioner as a result, has requested in the petition that he be granted the approved promotion.		
35	Mr. K.A. Tabrew COM/PP/2025/76 Presented by Hon. Gayantha Karunathilleke, MP. Investigated on 22.05.2025 Ministry Ref : AOP/PPC/15/2011 Date : 18.02.2016	The petitioner who states that although he scored marks for the first place during the interview for the post of Conductor held on 15.03.2000, while working as a technician in the Department of Railways, he was not granted the post and that the order given by the Administrative Appeals Tribunal has not been implemented, be given the promotion he deserves with the corresponding pension/arrears of salary	(a) The petition was presented to the 7th, 8th and 9th Parliaments and recommendations were made on several occasions to grant the relief sought by the petitioner  (b) This matter was presented before the Administrative Appeals Tribunal and the said Tribunal has now issued a decision to grant the petitioner's request and that decision has not been implemented.	The Committee recommended that the Sri Lanka Railway Department should take steps to implement the decision given by the Administrative Appeals Tribunal as it is legally bound to do so. The Committee further recommended that the approval of the Public Service Commission be obtained for the matter and that the documents, copies of decisions and recommendations needed for the purpose be submitted to the Commission.
<b>Ministry of Public Administration, Provincial Councils and Local Government</b>				
36	Mr. B.G.W. Jayasinghe COM/PP/2025/21 Presented by the	The petitioner had submitted this petition, stating that the entrance to the house was blocked by a	(a) This has been a problem for 17 years and as per the decisions of the previous committee, a drain	The officials present were informed to take appropriate steps to resolve this issue within the existing

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Hon. U.P. Abeywickrama, Attorney at Law, M.P. Investigated on 25.02.2025. Ministry Ref : Letter No. :	former member of the Pradeshiya Sabha with soil without the approval of the said council, and that he had been aggrieved by the Secretary of the Pradeshiya Sabha's attempt to justify that action, and that although he had informed the relevant authorities about it, he had not been given justice, and that justice had not been done to him.	has been constructed by the Dompe Pradeshiya Sabha at a cost of Rs. 1.5 million to drain the water. (b) An estimate of Rs. 1.7 million has been prepared for concreting the road. (c) How the petitioner acted in this incident	legal framework with the agreement of all the relevant stakeholders, namely the Ministry of Public Administration, Provincial Councils and Local Government, Commissioner of Local Government for the Western Province, the Assistant Commissioner of Local Government for Gampaha District, the Gampaha District Engineer and the Dompe Pradeshiya Sabha and to take steps to resolve this issue considering the technical aspects necessary for the natural water flow to occur, and to complete the relevant work within a month from the date of this letter since financial provisions have also been allocated and to report to the Committee. It was further informed that this petition should not be examined by the Committee again in the future since this petition has been examined and decisions were given by the Committee

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
				several times.
<b>Ministry of Energy</b>				
37	Mr. D. K. Ranasinghe COM/PP/2025/04 Presented by Hon. Harshana Rajakaruna, M.P., Investigated on 25.02.2025. Ministry Ref: Letter No.: විබ/පාලන/6/පෙ නිසම්/2016-13	He has lost his house and land due to the Upper Kotmale Hydroelectric Power Project and has not received a house or compensation money in lieu thereof, and in connection therewith he has submitted an appeal to the Committee on Public Petitions in the years 2016 and 2020, wherein the Committee recommended that a house or compensation money be given to him, and since the said recommendations have not been implemented to date, it has been requested to conduct a fresh investigation and provide a solution to his problem.	a) That Padmanathan, who was residing in that house, has been provided with an alternative house to the one he lost and that his residence has been confirmed by the Grama Niladhari in the year 2001. (b) It is stated that only one named Padmanathan appeared for the claim investigation conducted in 2011, and that the petitioner did not appear for it. (c) The petitioner's comments contradict the statements of the officials. (d) Although the Valuation Department has assessed a statutory compensation amount, the petitioner has refused to accept that amount.	Considering the compensation paid for other lands under this project, it was recommended that the petitioner be given a fair amount of compensation if possible.
<b>Ministry of Education, Higher Education and Vocational Education</b>				
38	Mr. M. A. Wimalasena COM/PP/2025/61 Presented by Hon. Imran Maharoof, M.P., Investigated on 03.03.2025 Ministry Ref:	He has been appointed to the post of 'Planning' in Class III of the Sri Lanka Education Administrative Service on supernumerary basis with effect from 10.11.1999 and has been absorbed in the	(a) The relevant petition was investigated by the Committee on Public Petitions of the 9th Parliament. (b) According to the Cabinet decision dated 08.12.1992, it is	It was also recommended that the relief requested by the Public Service Commission's letter PSC/EST/02-03/08/2018 and dated 2024.12.06 has been received and that

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Letter No: ED/4/58/1/8/173/64	regular cadre from the date of assuming duties, and received promotion to Class I of the Education Administrative Service with effect from 01.06.2016, and according to the letter No. 4/අධ්‍යක්ෂ/අ/2/09 of the Secretary to the Ministry of Education dated 20.12.1995 which states that it was decided to pay 04 additional salary increments to officers of the Education Administrative Service, he has requested the said 04 salary increments, and according to the minutes of the Sri Lanka Education Administrative Service No. 1225/32 and Gazette notification dated 01.03.2002, it was refused to be given on the grounds that he had been appointed on supernumerary basis, and it has been requested to grant the 04 salary increments.	for the payment of salaries to officers of parallel and all-island services and does not mention the said service minutes therein, and under the same Cabinet decision, 04 salary increments have been paid to officers of the Sri Lanka Planning Service who were appointed on supernumerary basis from 20.06.2009.  (c) The Secretary to the Public Service Commission has decided to grant the said 04 salary increments to the petitioner through letter No. PSC/EST/02-03/08/2018 dated 06.12.2024 addressed to the Secretary to the Ministry of Education.	action be taken in accordance with the relevant decision.
39	Mrs. D.J. Nimanthika COM/PP/2025/53 Presented by Hon. Jagath Manuwarna, M.P., Investigated on 03.03.2025 Ministry Ref: Letter No. :	She has achieved first place in the written examination held for the Recruitment of Graduate Teachers for the vacancies in the subject of Graphic Design that exist in schools administered under the Central Provincial Council-	(a) Although it is observed that the petitioner has been wronged, there are no facilities for the necessary legal provisions to provide her relief.  (b) The gazette notification under which	(a) Although it is not possible to recommend the relief entitlement that has been requested,  (b) the Committee recommends that attention be paid to the injustice that has occurred and arrangements be made

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	ED/05/152/05/ 2024/01-33	2023, however, she who had fulfilled all the qualifications has been removed in a most unfair manner stating that the qualifications presented during the interview were inconsistent with the qualifications required in the notice for the examination, and it has been requested to provide the necessary arrangements to obtain the teaching appointment that is rightfully due to her.	the recruitment was made has been cancelled.  (c) The single vacancy that existed has been filled.	to give her priority in future recruitment conducted for teacher vacancies that arise in the Central Province, and  (c) when conducting future recruitments for teacher vacancies in the Central Province, a specific methodology be approved to ensure that 1/3 (credits) of the subject in question is secured.

## 2) Petitions for which relief was not recommended

### Ministry of Energy

40	Mr.J.B.Manthilaka Arachchi. COM/PP/2025/196. Submitted by Hon. Anuradha Jayaratne, MP. Reviewed on 19.03.2025. Ministry Reference : WIB/Palana/6/Petition/2017-09. Date : 2019.06.05.	The petitioner has stated that he was dismissed from his job as a Meter Reader at the Ceylon Electricity Board without any justifiable reason. He submitted a petition to the Committee on Public Petitions in this regard and the petition was examined in 2007.  It was recommended that he be given a Labourer or an equivalent position at the Ceylon Electricity Board as a compassionatory measure , considering his previous service status.However	a)The petitioner's appointment, which was given to him in 1991 on a political basis, has been revoked by the political authority itself, and it appears that the petitioner has been subjected to political retaliation.  b) That relief has been provided to the petitioner by a Cabinet decision dated 2016.03.02 based on a recommendation of the Committee on Public Petitions given in 2007.	Does not recommend the additional relief requested.
----	--	--	---	---

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		injustice has been caused since such recommendation was implemented only in 2018. The petitioner has submitted this petition requesting that this petition be re-examined and that he be reinstated to take effect from 21.03.2007 . And also he be paid the arrears of salary, Employees' Provident Fund contribution, Employees' Trust Fund contribution and all other allowances that he had lost since that date.		
<b>Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Land and Irrigation</b>				
41	Mrs. B.D.D. Perera COM/PP/2025/01 Presented by Hon. Ravi Karunanayake, M.P., Investigated on 06.03.2025 Ministry Ref: MOA/AD/E11/1/47	She has been given a permanent appointment in the post of Grade IX clerk in the Finance Division of Ceylon Fertilizer Company Limited from the year 1995, and despite fulfilling all the qualifications, she has not been given the promotions and due salary that were rightfully hers, and the recommendations given based on Cabinet approval in the year 2016 to provide relief to those who suffered political	a) That justice has been served to the petitioner based on the recommendation given by the Committee for the Provision of Relief to Victims of Political Retaliation. b) In the year 2019, the National Salaries and Cadre Commission and the Department of Management Services conducted a full study and the Director General of the Department of Management Services submitted a report on 2019.04.28, stating	The Committee does not recommend the additional relief requested by the petitioner.



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		victimization were not implemented, and subsequently in the year 2019, she has been given an appointment in the Management Service Category (MM 1-2) but has not received the correct salary scale or correct designation, and therefore, it has been requested to remove the salary disparity existing as at 31.12.2015 and provide the correct salary and to grant the supplementary allowance from the year 2007, and to provide the necessary arrangements to place her as Deputy Finance Manager (MM 1-2) in the salary scale from 27.03.2018 and grant the privileges due on seniority basis and to appoint her to the post of Finance Manager from 29.07.2019	that there was no problem in the processing of the petitioner's salary. It was noted that overall, it was observed that there was a problem in the salary increment system of the Sri Lanka Fertilizer Institute itself at that time.	
<b>Ministry of Public Security and Parliamentary Affairs</b>				
42	Mr. Priyantha Kumarage COM/PP/2025/12 Presented by Hon. Aruna Panagoda, M.P., Investigated on 20.02.2025. Ministry Ref: 02/03/23/15/2022	He has joined the Police Service as a Constable, and a charge sheet has been issued against him on 09.05.2002 based on an incident that occurred on 06.12.2001, and that during the said	(a) the incident that formed the basis for the disciplinary order,  (b) that a proper disciplinary inquiry has been conducted, and  (c) since a considerable period of time has	relief is not recommended.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Date: 24.11.2022	disciplinary inquiry, two of his salary increments have been deferred, and since he has missed the opportunity to sit for promotion examinations due to this reason, considering his period of service, it has been requested to take necessary action to facilitate his promotion to the post of Sub-Inspector of Police which is the position he is entitled to receive after the rank of Police Sergeant.	elapsed after the relevant incident occurred and the opportunity for examination of evidence has been missed due to failure to submit an appeal within the stipulated time,	
43	Mr.W.M.Santha Gunathilake COM/PP/2025 /166 Presented by Hon. MP., Rohana Bandara, Investigated on 09.05.2025. Ministry Reference: 02/03/23/10/ 2023	Has stated that he had been serving in the Sri Lanka Police since 1997 and upon a case filed for the offence of remarrying while subsisting a legal marriage, when he completed 16 years of service, and had paid the government charges for the prejudice brought to his own family on 17.10.2011, whereas his personal information had been obtained through clearance reports when he joined the service and that he had never concealed information	Having considered all the facts regarding this petition, the Committee observed that the Committee does not have the necessary provisions to grant the reliefs requested by the petitioner and decided to conclude the proceedings on this petition.	relief is not recommended.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		<p>regarding his marriage. He had submitted an appeal to the Public Service Commission on 06.08.2013 and had sought information from the Inspector General of Police, but no reply had been sent to it so far, the Police Commission had later rejected his appeal, he had been found guilty of the two charges included in the charge sheet given to him by the Deputy Inspector General of Police at Anuradhapura on 03.03.2011 and had lost his job, leaving his wife, parents and himself very helpless, and has requested to amend the disciplinary order.</p>		
44	<p>Mr.Nimal Satharasinghe COM/PP/2025/174 Presented by Hon. MP., Ravindra Bandara, Investigated on 09.05.2025 Ministry Reference: 01/02/03/14/</p>	<p>Has stated that he joined the service as a Police Officer in 1985, a case has been filed naming him the accused, a government charge of Rs.125,000/ was paid in accordance with the verdict and the case was concluded. However, he was dismissed from service in 2022 without conducting a formal disciplinary inquiry.</p>	<p>a) That orders have been issued to the petitioner to leave his post with effect from 11.07.2020 due to his failure to report to work without informing him after the transfer based on the incident. b) He pleaded guilty in the case. c) Suspending the disciplinary investigation process due to a court order</p>	<p>Does not recommend the grant of reliefs</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	2024	Since he had completed 55 years of age at the time of termination of his service and his service period was about 35 years, has requested that he be reinstated in the service and retirement salary be granted.	while a formal disciplinary investigation is ongoing and issuing a disciplinary order based on the court order	
45	Mr.M.A. Nishantha Kumara COM/PP/2025/ 146 Presented by Hon. MP ., (Dr.) Nihal Abeyasinghe, Investigated on 09.05.2025 Ministry Reference: 02/03/23/64/ 2021	Has joined the service as a Reserve Sub-Inspector of Police, and while serving at the Mount- Lavinia Police Station, he suffered from an illness and found it difficult to report for duty after 11.10.2005. Despite the relevant notices, he was informed that his service was suspended on 16.06.2016. Has requested to kindly consider the matter and make arrangements to reinstate him in the service.	(a) That the petitioner has not been referred to the Police Hospital for treatment for the illness mentioned,  (b) There are contradiction in the facts presented regarding the inability to report to duty,	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs
<b>Ministry of Education, Higher Education and Vocational Education</b>				
46	Mr.W.W. Thamarasinghe COM/PP/2025/ 52 Presented by Hon. MP., Ajantha	Stated that he worked as an Assistant Teacher at Mara/Pallawela Maha Vidyalaya and served as the Media Coordinator in the Ministry Staff of the former Prisons	(a) It is observed that the approved staff has been appointed based on the Public Expenditure Management Circular dated 2010.05.14 issued by the Presidential	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	<p>Gammeddage, Investigated on 03.03.2025 Ministry Reference: ED/05/152/05/20 24/01-35</p>	<p>Minister Chandrasiri Gajadeera from 2012.05.02 to 2015.01.09. Has requested the Ministry of Justice, Prisons and Constitutional Reforms to make necessary arrangements to pay the relevant amount to the Mulatiyana Zonal Education Office as the relevant salary for that period has not been paid by the Ministry of Justice, Prisons and Constitutional Reforms to the Mulatiyana Zonal Education Office.</p>	<p>Secretariat, and that the position of "Media Coordinator" mentioned by the petitioner does not exist in the records of the Ministry, and that a letter sent by the petitioner himself stating that no such appointment letter was received for that position is also among the files, as submitted by the Ministry of Justice</p> <p>(b) It can be assumed that the position of "Media Coordinator" is the position of Media Secretary, but even so, the salary was paid to another person for that position.</p> <p>(c) The Southern Provincial Public Service Commission has issued a notice to the Minister of Justice to temporarily release the teacher from the teaching service without salary in relation to the period of service attached to the Ministry of Rehabilitation and Prison Reforms That the Commission has granted approval</p> <p>(d) Although the teacher has been</p>	

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			released from service, the formal appointment to serve as the Minister's Media Coordinator was made without a letter	
47	<p>Mrs.M.K.M. Dharmasiri COM/PP/2025/ 68</p> <p>Presented by Hon. MP., Dharmapriya Wijesinghe, Investigated on 03.03.2025 Ministry Reference: ED/02/29/03/02/ 424 Date: 08.11. 2022.</p>	<p>Has requested to grante the retirement salary considering her 23 years of service, stating that she was recruited into the government service in 1989 under the permanent appointment of a Volunteer Teacher and that her service was suspended without any notice for 23 years on the grounds that her GCE (O/L) examination results was found to be defective.</p>	<p>(a) Recruitment qualifications</p> <p>(b)The Examination results submitted, have been declared false by the Department of Examinations</p>	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs
<b>Ministry of Public Administration, Provincial Councils and Local Government</b>				
48	<p>Mr.Nuwan Muhandiram COM/PP/2025/ 105</p> <p>Presented by Hon. MP., Nandana Pathmakumara, Investigated on 20.05.2025</p>	<p>This petition has been submitted requesting to reinstate him in the service, stating that he had served as a Firefighter since 2003, and he was unable to report for duty since April 2017 due to unavoidable reasons, and that his service had been terminated without noticing him</p>	<p>(a) That the petitioner has neglected all the opportunities given by the institution to show progress in reporting to his duties</p> <p>(b) That he has acted irresponsibly in the discharge of his duties</p>	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs requested

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Ministry Reference: IAD/EST/GA 15/PP-GNRL 2022	in writing.	(c) That there is no clear evidence that the petitioner has been wronged.	
49	Mr.S.W. Thilakaratne COM/PP/2025/ 158 Presented by Hon. MP., Thanura Dissanayake, Investigated on 20.05.2025 Ministry Reference: IAD/EST/GA 15/PP-GNRL 23	The petitioner stated that the land deed No. 110 dated 27.11.1987, certified by Notary Sudharma Dhanapala for the Dalukgahakotuwa paddy land at No. 81/1, Katugastota Road, Mahaiyawa, Kandy, belonging to him, has been registered at the Land Registry Office Kandy, and that he has not made any sale or transfer of the said land since the date of purchase, and that an incorrect entry has been made in the land folio bearing no. A/213/74 at the Land Registry Office Kandy, in relation to the said land, and has requested that the entry made based on incorrect information be corrected.	(a) The said land referred to by the petitioner belonged to Noor Nazima alias Nurul Nazima Hussain and she later sold the said land to one Aziz Mohamed Khalil and the petitioner states that Aziz Mohamed Khalil later sold this land to the petitioner on 23.11.1987. (b) The deed bearing No. 110, registered and certified by a Notary on 25.11.1987, is dated 1987.11.1987. 22559 and 1987.11.27 that the land has been registered in the Kandy Land Registration Office under A 213/74 and that 10 perches have been assigned to another party by Noor Nazima alias Nurul Nazima Hussain (c) That a partition case has been filed in the Kandy District Court and the petitioner is also a party thereto and that according to the judgment, the	Accordingly, having considered all these facts, that the petitioner Mr. Thilakaratne has submitted this petition in relation to a land to which he does not have title, and since the Committee has not observed that the petitioner was wronged by the officers of the Land Registry Office Kandy, and since the Committee does not have the provisions to recommend relief to the petitioner, the Committee has decided not to grant the relief.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			petitioner does not have the right to the land and that the judgment given by the District Court has also been confirmed by the Hon. Supreme Court on 2012.12.07	
50	Mr.M.Wilmet Perera COM/PP/2025/65 Presented by Hon. MP., (Dr.) Nandana Millagala, Investigated on 11.03.2025	This petition has been submitted requesting that the necessary arrangements be made for him to legally remain in the possession of the land specified in the petition.	(a) The Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs (Ombudsman) has informed that this petition has been dismissed. (No. OMB/P/2/3/3773/2022 dated 20.12.2022)  (b) Since the petitioner is not satisfied with the report of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Affairs (Ombudsman), the Committee decided to call all the relevant parties and re-examine the matter  (c) The petitioner has failed to specifically	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			clarify to the Committee that he has been wronged	
<b>Ministry of Defence</b>				
51	Mrs. B.M. Harriet Nona COM/PP/2025/163. Presented by Hon. MP. K. Sujith Sanjaya Perera, Investigated on 2025.03.14. Ministry Reference: MOD/DEF/14/22/01/PPC/33 and MOD/DEF/GS-S/14/22/01/PPC/33(25)	The petitioner states that the child named B.M. Indraratne was abandoned by his parents and taken care of by her, that he joined the army and went missing during Operation Jayasikuru in 1988, that since he had been in her custody since 1977 and had been nurturing him, she received compensation and allowances from 1998 to February 2014 after his death, and that the Grama Niladhari had taken steps to give the salary received by the petitioner to the child's parents due to a grudge against her. The petitioner has filed a petition requesting that an investigation be conducted into this matter and that at least half of that salary be given to her.	(a) That the petition was considered on two previous occasions on 2022.07.05 and 2024.08.06 and that the Attorney General's instructions have been received in accordance with its recommendations  (b) That the Attorney General has instructed the legal guardians to take steps to pay the salaries and allowances due to him  (c) That when B. M. Indraratne joined the Army, the soldier's father, B. M. Somaratne, was named as the next of kin,	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs
52	Mr. M.P. Jayasekara COM/PP/2025/104. Presented by Hon. MP. Nandana Padmakumara, Investigated on 2025.03.14.	The petitioner states that he joined the Sri Lanka Army Regular Force on 1991.01.04 and served for 22 years and retired on 2014.06.14. He stated that he became	(a) No medical report was submitted to prove that the petitioner was suffering from a disability at the time of retirement and it is recorded in the	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Ministry Reference: MOD/PAC/05/P PC/2023 Date : 2023.08.21	disabled while engaged in the construction work of the Nagar Kovil Forward Security Line in 2003 due to the activities carried out to suppress terrorist activities. In 2008, due to the worsening of his disability, he was admitted to the Army Hospital for treatment and underwent medical examinations. He has served for 6 years from the date of his disability until the date of his retirement. Since the pension he is currently receiving is not sufficient to live on, he has made requests from 2016.03.17 to date to be granted disability pay, but to no avail. Since he became disabled during his service in the Army, he has requested the Army to intervene to obtain disability pay. This petition has been submitted requesting that justice be done to him.	retirement records that he was in good health at that time (b) No documents were submitted to the Directorate of Salaries and Records indicating that there was a disability when submitting the documents relating to the entitlement of pensions and there is no mention of any injury in the documents held by the Directorate of Salaries and Records (c) The petitioner does not meet the qualifications required for the payment of disability pay or compensation	
<b>Ministry of Energy</b>				
53	Mr. H.G. Maithrisena COM/PP/2025/194. Presented by	The petitioner, who states that a group of employees, including himself, voluntarily retired from the	a) As notified by the Ceylon Petroleum Corporation, the relevant salary increase is a salary	Does not recommend the grant of reliefs

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Hon. MP. Nalin Hewage, Investigated on 2025.03.19. Ministry Reference: ADM/ 12/05/09 Date : 2024.04.19	Ceylon Petroleum Corporation, but the arrears of salaries due to them have not been paid to date, has requested in the petition that necessary steps be taken to obtain the arrears of salaries due to them.	increase made to the employees who were then in service on the condition of covering the increased salaries by cutting the overtime of the employees in service to the minimum as per a decision of the Cabinet of Ministers and this salary increase does not apply to the employees who have voluntarily retired (b) That a decision of the Cabinet of Ministers has been given in this regard (c) That the complaints have been examined and the requests have been rejected by the Labour Commissioner and the Supreme Court	
<b>Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development</b>				
54	Ms. Amasha Nirmani Edirimuni COM/PP/2025/ 177. Presented by Hon. Dayasiri Jayasekara, M.P., Attorney at Law. Investigated on 2025.04.08. Ministry Reference: MF1/11/03/12/03 /COPP/GEN	The petitioner has been working at the National Savings Bank and has been on unpaid leave for 02 years on 13.09.2023 and has submitted her resignation to the bank on 04.01.2024. The bank has informed her that the bank will withdraw the entire contractual amount from her provident fund, regardless of the period during which she took unpaid leave, and has requested that	a) That the request to amend the written agreement again in a context where the petitioner has breached the terms of the agreement is not reasonable. (b) That steps were taken to recover the surety bonds for all those who resigned from service while abroad according to the same methodology.	The requested relief is not recommended.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		the necessary arrangements be made to release the provident fund amount due to her.		
55	Mr. Gallage Jinapriya COM/PP/2025/31. Presented by Hon. MP. Rathna Gamage. Investigated on 2025.04.08. Ministry Reference: MF1/11/03/11/08 /COPP/GEN	The petitioner claims that the sentence given in relation to an incident that occurred while he was employed at the People's Bank was a biased decision, that despite waiting for the first extension of service, his service had to be terminated on 03.01.2015, that he could have served until the age of 60, and that he should have been entitled to the salary increments, gratuities, annual salary increments, leave, promotions, and the money available from the Provident and Trust Fund and the arrears of pensions due under the collective agreement if he had served until the age of 60.	a) The incident alleged by the petitioner and the facts surrounding it (b) The institution has imposed sanctions and given the petitioner an opportunity to appeal (c) The careless and irresponsible conduct shown by the petitioner as a key officer, even though he was not directly involved in the relevant incidents (d) The bank is enjoying all the retirement benefits provided to a retired employee	Granting relief is not recommended
56	Mr. Senarath Hettiarachchi COM/PP/2025/06. Presented by Hon. MP. Harshana Rajakaruna. Investigated on 2025.04.08.	The petitioner, who mentions that he has 26 years of service at People's Bank, that he had to resign from his job in 2017 due to retaliation, that he was informed that the above incident was	(a) It was reported that the evidence of personal retaliation against the petitioner as alleged by the petitioner is not corroborated and that there is no acceptable evidence that he complained to the	The requested relief is not recommended.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Ministry Reference: MF1/11/03/11/08 /COPP/GEN	included in the Board of Directors' report approving the resignation by the Chairman, and that he decided not to go to court as he could not obtain evidence against the bank from other officers, and that the bank rejected his requests for pension as he had completed the age of 55, has requested that justice be done to him.	bank management in this regard (b) The petitioner has submitted a letter dated 2017.06.12 to the General Manager of the People's Bank requesting his voluntary retirement on various personal grounds (c) It was also reported that he has been enjoying all the benefits of a retiree of the People's Bank since December 2022	
<b>Ministry of Transport, Highways, Ports and Civil Aviation</b>				
57	Mr. W.A.A.D. Pushpakumara COM/PP/2025/71. Presented by Hon. MP. Darmapriya Wijesinghe. Investigated on 2025.05.22 Ministry Reference: MPS/AD/07/04 Date: 2024.05.27	The petitioner has requested that the promotion to the position of Accounts Assistant and the promotion to the position of Assistant Accountant, which he was unfairly deprived of by the management after working at the Airport and Aviation Services (Sri Lanka) Limited since 1985, be granted with effect from 1993 and 1997 respectively, and that the necessary steps be taken to recover the arrears due accordingly.	a) Qualifications considered in the recruitment process and the highest qualifications considered (b) Since the petitioner has retired from service, it is not possible to grant promotions retroactively and that the Airport and Aviation Services (Sri Lanka) Limited is a commercial enterprise.	Granting relief is not recommended
58	Mr. N.C.S. Premalal Mendis COM/PP/2025/96.	On 25.10.1980, the petitioner was recruited as a Depot Road Inspector at the	(a) That this request has also been examined in the Petitions Committee	Granting relief is not recommended. This judgment does not in any way prevent

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Presented by Hon. MP. Hector Appuhamy. Investigated on 2025.05.22 Ministry Reference: MT/10/1/3/158 Date: 2023.01.25	Wennappuwa Depot in the North Western Region of the Sri Lanka Transport Board, and after 30 years of continuous service, he was retired on 2011.02.08 at the age of 55. He was illegally and contrary to the constitution of Sri Lanka Transport Board was not paid for 168 ½ days of service from 2005 to the end of 2007. He complained to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Kurunegala in this regard on 2017.02.17. The petitioner has requested that relief be provided to him as he has complained to the Puttalam Assistant Labour Commissioner regarding his forced retirement at the age of 55 despite the fact that the Sri Lanka Transport Board has provided the opportunity for employees to work until the age of 60. He has also requested that these complaints be resolved as they have not yet been resolved.	of the 9th Parliament held on 2024.06.19 (b) That the failure to submit sufficient evidence to substantiate the request for 168 ½ days' salary requires a lengthy and detailed investigation (c) That the Commissioner General of Labour has referred the matters submitted in this petition, namely retirement before the age of 60, to arbitration and has given a decision, and that if the petitioner requests, the 168 ½ days' salary can be referred to arbitration	the petitioner from resorting to the Court of Appeal or arbitration as stated in the letter of the Commissioner General of Labour No. IR/COM/04/2017/14 dated 2024.08.16.
<b>Ministry of Health and Mass Media</b>				
59	Mr. H.D. Ranjan - COM/PP/2025/90 Presented by	The petitioner had stated that the disciplinary inquiry and the methodology	(a) Government officers should fulfil their responsibilities properly so that public	Grating of relief is not recommended.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Hon. Jagath Gunawardana, M.P.. The petition was examined on 10.04.2025. Ministry reference: MMI/AD2/16/10 -vol I	of imposing punishment with regard to an irregularity that had occurred while he was attached to the Colombo Central Postal exchange of the Department of Post were not fair. He has requested that the punishment imposed out to him be made null and void.	confidence in government institutions is ensured. (b) It has not been observed that an injustice had been caused to the petitioner at the disciplinary inquiry.	
60	Mr. Don Gamage Rupasinghe - COM/PP/2025/257 Presented by Hon. Ajith Agalakada, M.P.. Examined on 10.04.2025. Ministry Reference: MMI/AD2/16/10 -vol I	He had been served in the Postal Department nearly 40 years at Nakkala Post Office which was governed for about 40 years under the Monaragala Post Office with effect from 08.08.1997, when, because of a personal adversities with its Sub Post Mistress, charges were schemingly framed against him and his service was suspended for the first time on 05.05.2016; following a disciplinary inquiry he was reinstated; he was interdicted again on 02.03.2017 by hiding a sheaf of letters in a conspiratorial manner and putting the blame on him; that at the disciplinary inquiry, 5 of his salary	(a) That the petitioner has neglected his duty of delivering postal items and letters on two (02) occasions and has thereby caused prejudice to his clients (b) That five (05) salary increments have been deferred, namely three (03) salary increments in the first instance and two (02) salary increments in the second instance. It is not correct to state in the petition that seven (07) salary increments have been deferred (c) That the evidence required to prove the facts presented by the petitioner beyond reasonable doubt is insufficient	Grating of relief is not recommended.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		increments were deferred and 2 more were denied to him, leaving him deeply distressed, and action was also taken to impose a fine through filing a case against him for trespassing on the land of the Office whereas he lived close to the post office; and amidst such injustices and because of his infirmity he found it difficult to serve and so he retired on 15.06.2018 on request. He request that he be granted the 7 salary increments he was deprived of and his salary arrears, considering the injustices caused to him.		
<b>3) Petitions Postponed</b>				
<b>Ministry of Defence</b>				
61	Mr. H.L. Samantha Kumara - COM/PP/2025/94 Presented by Hon. Major General (Retired) G.D. Sooriyabandara. Examined on 14.03.2025. Ministry	The petitioner stated that he joined the Sri Lanka Air Force on 24.01.1997; he was removed from his service at the Sri Lanka Air Force on 04.11.2008 on a false charge stating “service no longer required” when he had completed 11 years and 10 months of	a) The Committee decided to refer the said signature to the Government Analyst to verify whether it is the petitioner's signature, in view of the petitioner's statement that the letter issued to the petitioner on 2006.06.06 did not bear his signature and	It was decided to provide the recommendations of the committee, after reviewing the report of the Government Analyst's Department.



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	Reference : MOD/DEF/14/22 /03/PPC/08  Date: 29.11.2017	service; even an independent inquiry was not conducted regarding the charges against him, who had been in a disabled state due to injuries sustained in action; and attempts at getting his job back were futile. He has requested that arrangements be made to grant him justice.	that the petitioner was not aware that such a letter had been issued.	
<b>Ministry of Education, Higher Education and Vocational Education</b>				
62	Mr. H.K.P.C. Jayasinghe COM/PP/2025/15 Submitted by Hon. Rohini Kumari Wijeratne, MP. Investigated on 25.02.2025. Ministry Reference No. : ED/05/152/05/2022/1-01	The petitioner states that although she appeared for the interview for promotion to Grade 1 of the Principal Service, she was informed to appear for the relevant promotion after obtaining the relevant cut-off concessions due to the efficiency bar examination not being completed, and that after she applied for the cut-off concessions, she received the cut-off concessions and the relevant letter was given to the office to be included in her file, but she has not received the said promotion till now. She has requested that the necessary	A ministerial report was called for after considering the facts presented.	The issuance of recommendations has been postponed.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		arrangements be made to grade her to Grade 1 of the Principal Service, which she was due to receive on 07.12.2015, and to pay her the corresponding salary		
63	Mr. A.L.M. Mukthar - COM/PP/2025/60 Presented by Hon. Imran Mahroof, M.P. Examined on 03.03.2025. Ministry Reference : Letter No : ED/4/58/1/8/173/65	The petitioner states that he was appointed to the post of Class III Planner of the Sri Lanka Educational Administrative Service on supernumerary basis and received promotion to Class I; although he requested the Ministry of Education for four salary increments on 05.11.2022 on account of the decision to pay four increments to officers of the Sri Lanka Educational Administrative Service by the letter No. 4/අධ්‍යක්ෂ/අ/2/09 of the Secretary to the Ministry of Education dated 20.12.1995, it was not granted to him; that permission was granted to give 4 increments to officers in parallel and all-island services according to the Cabinet Decision dated 08.12.1998; and as the 4 salary		The Committee decided that although this petition was examined on notice without the participation of the petitioner, the recommendations should be made in consultation with him and that the petition should be reconsidered on a future date.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		increments have been paid to officers who received appointment on supernumerary basis with effect from 20.06.2009 according to service minutes of the Sri Lanka Planning Service, which is a parallel service, he has requested that an inquiry be conducted and the Public Service Commission and the Ministry of Education be directed to pay him 4 salary increments.		
<b>Ministry of Public Security and Parliamentary Affairs</b>				
64	<p>Mr. R. A. Prasanna Samarasekera</p> <p>COM/PP/2025/11</p> <p>Presented by Hon. Kosala Nuwan Jayaweera, MP.</p> <p>Investigated on: 2025.02.20.</p> <p>Ministry Ref: 02/03/23/26/2022</p> <p>Date: 2023.01.24</p>	<p>This petition has been submitted seeking some reasonable relief for / removal of the disciplinary order, as the petitioner has lost the opportunity to be promoted to the post of Chief Inspector of Police due to the issuing of a disciplinary order.</p>	<p>(a) That a formal disciplinary inquiry has been conducted and disciplinary orders have been issued</p> <p>(b) That, however, since the other officers involved in the incident have been released and only the petitioner alone has been held guilty, some injustice has been caused to the petitioner.</p> <p>(c) The appeal filed</p>	<p>Since the petitioner has been wronged by releasing the other officers involved in the incident and holding only the petitioner guilty, it was requested to investigate whether there is any possibility of obtaining relief and submit a report. The Committee's recommendation will be given after receiving the relevant report.</p>

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			has been rejected on the basis of prescription.	
<b>Minister of Public Administration, Provincial Councils and Local Government</b>				
65	W.A. A. K. Ajith Wickramasinghe. COM/PP/2025/72 Submitted by Hon. Dharmapriya Wijesinghe, MP. Reviewed on 11.03.2025. Ministry Reference: PL/6/3/COM/PP/2019/2369.	He has stated that he joined the post of Agricultural Project Worker in the Department of Animal Production and Health of the Western Province from 03.08.1981, that he was imprisoned on absurd charges from 13.03.1991 and false cases were filed in court, that he was released from 19.10.1994, that he was reinstated from 21.05.1996 and paid arrears of salary, that he missed the bar examination during his imprisonment, that he passed the examination on 23.08.1996, and that he was re-attached to the Western Provincial Public Service from 07.10.1996, that he applied for the promotions he was entitled to during the relevant periods, and that he submitted petitions to the Western Provincial	a) Matters considered at the Committee on Public Petitions held on 2023.06.08  (c) As per the notification of the Committee held on 2023.06.08, the petitioner has forwarded the relevant application to the Department of Animal Production and Health of the Western Province on 2023.06.09, and the promotion of the petitioner Mr. Wickramasinghe to the Animal Development Advisor Grade I of the Technical Service of the Western Provincial Council Public Service with effect from 2004.07.01 has been approved at the meeting of the Western Provincial Council Public Service Commission held on 2024.10.01 and the said decision has been communicated to the petitioner by the Secretary of the Western	The Committee instructed the Provincial Director of the Department of Animal Production and Health of the Western Province to study the personal file of the petitioner and the personal files of his contemporaries named by him and to investigate whether any injustice had been done to the petitioner in granting the said promotion and to submit a report to the Committee in this regard.  The committee will make recommendations after reviewing the relevant report

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		Council for the same, but no relief was given, and that he requested that the promotions he lost be given, corresponding salaries and allowances and fair compensation be paid for the prejudice suffered.	Provincial Council Public Service Commission on 2024.11.12	
66	Mr. S.M. Viraj Kumara Samaraweera - COM/PP/2025/24 Presented by Hon. E.M. Basnayake, M.P. Examined on 20.05.2025. Ministry Reference : MPA/PSD/Sab (Volume II)	The petitioner states that he joined the service in 1999 and has been serving attached to District and Divisional Secretariats and performing duties associated with the subject of planning and draughting; that he sat and passed the Examination to recruit for the Sri Lanka Planning Service (on supernumerary basis) held on 19.04.2009 and appeared at the Interview for recruitment concerned; above stated appointments were granted in an unfair and groundless manner during this recruitment; and action was not taken to grant the promotions concerned to him and some others despite having completed qualifications equally with the officers who		The Committee decided to investigate this petition further.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		received promotions and has requested that arrangements be made to give a fair solution.		
67	Mr. G.H.D. Jagath Panditha - COM/PP/2025/205 – Presented by Hon. Nishantha Samaraweera, M.P. Examined on 20.05.2025. Ministry Reference : ES/DIR/J/9(II)	The petitioner states that he applied for being recruited for Grade III of Sri Lanka Engineers' Service on limited basis, that although he attended the interview in 2021, he did not receive the post, and that appointments have not been given to the Southern Province under civil category, through which he appeared. He requests that he be granted appointment to Grade III of Sri Lanka Engineers' Service on limited basis as he has completed qualifications (4.1.4 and 4.16.) for recruitment.		The Committee decided to investigate this petition further.
<b>Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development</b>				
68	Mr. D.M.R. Rajasinghe COM/PP/2025/56 Presented by Hon. J.C. Alawathuwala, M.P., Investigated on 08.04.2025 Ministry Ref. -	The Petitioner has requested that he be considered compassionately and reinstated as he had served in the Central Bank of Sri Lanka as a Management Assistant for about 10 years from 2010.09.02, and that he was unable to report to work since mid-2019 due to a	It was recommended to the Director of Human Resources, Central Bank of Sri Lanka, that (a) his fitness for service be examined by a medical board for re-employment and (b) the internal disciplinary investigation be resumed and that the	Issuance of the Committee's recommendation after examining the relevant report

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
	MF1/11/03/11/08 /COPP/GEN	sudden illness, and that he had submitted an appeal to the Central Bank of Sri Lanka requesting reinstatement.	report be forwarded to the Committee within 6 months.	
<b>Ministry of Rural Development, Social Security and Community Empowerment</b>				
69	Mr. Sanjeewa Prasad Fernando COM/PP/2025/87 Presented by Hon. Chathuru Galappaththi, M.P., Investigated on 19.03.2025. Ministry Ref : MWCA&SE/AD M/05/02 -2023  Date : 18.07.2023	The Petitioner who states that while he was working as a Samurdhi Development Officer from 01 <sup>st</sup> March 2001, he was treated as if he had vacated the service on the basis of not reporting to work on 01.06.2011 despite informing on the same date formally through a telephone call that his daughter was ill ,that he had reported to work on both the days before and after the day on which he was on leave, and that his requests to the authorities and the Labour Tribunal were of no use, that the Labour Tribunal Judge dismissed all the cases against the Samurdhi Authority under the Divineguma Act No. 1 of 2013 on On 03.03.2017, and that once the appeal made to the Committee to grant relief to employees subjected to Political Victimization in the Government sector was referred to the Department of Samurdhi Development, the	(a) The Committee directed the Secretary, Ministry of Rural Development, Social Security and Community Empowerment to submit a detailed report for the attention of the Committee.  (b) The Committee further directed the petitioner to submit to the Committee, by way of a letter, all evidence, if any to prove his innocence, along with certified copies thereof.	The Committee decided to re-examine the petition at a future Committee meeting and decided that the Divisional Secretary, Divulapitiya should also be summoned in addition to the officers currently summoned for the purpose.

S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
		response was that this incident, which occurred when Samurdhi was an authority, could not be considered after it became a department, has requested in the petition that he be reinstated in service with all privileges, arrears of salary and allowances, with effect from the date on which he was deemed to have vacated the service, in view of the fact that his fundamental rights were violated.		
<b>Ministry of Transport, Highways, Ports and Civil Aviation</b>				
70	Mr. G. W. Lal Amarasiri COM/PP/2025/183 Presented by Hon. Padmasiri Bandara, MP. Investigated on 22.05.2025 Ministry Ref :	The petitioner who states that he has been working in the Railway Department since 1980, that he served as a Ganger from 22.02.1995 to 12.11.2013, that he was given all the salaries and privileges of a Ganger until 1998, but due to a clerical error made by the office clerks, he lost his salary and official title and was given retirement from office of his previous position, has requested in the petition that his position and official title be given and the relevant pension be adjusted since this issue remains unresolved for 18 years.	Since the petitioner has continuously stated that he has served as a Ganger for 18 years, the Committee has directed the petitioner to submit, within a month, for the Committee's scrutiny, copies of all the evidence to prove that he has served as a Ganger for a period of 18 years, along with a covering letter explaining the service rendered during that	The Committee decided to state a recommendation once the relevant documents are received.



S.N	Details of the Petition	Expected Relief	Observations of the Committee	Recommendations of the Committee
			18-year period and mentioning the facts such as service stations where he served, the names and designations of the supervisory officers who held office during the relevant period and documents, if any, addressed to him as Ganger , along with copies of them certified by himself.	

## Model In a petition Model Annexure II

**" Honorable Speaker ,  
"I present this petition."**

.....  
, date and official seal of the presenting Member  
( If there is no official seal, please state the name)

**Petitioner's full name :**

Residential Address: (Not office address)

National Identity Card Number:

Landline number: Mobile number:

Other :

Date :

Hon. Speaker  
Parliament of Sri Lanka  
Sri Jayewardenepura  
Kotte

Honorable Speaker ,

**( Title )** ( The title should be brief and indicate the desired solution  
to the injustice that has occurred ).

**( The injustice that has occurred )**

should be presented clearly, with dates and times , in a respectful manner, containing only essential and important facts about the incident and the aggrieved person, in such a way that the relevant institution or ministry can easily find the facts. It should not contain irrelevant statements , and it should not have any letters , documents or copies thereof attached to it.

**Expected relief)**

The relief requested must be specifically stated.

**Petitioner's signature)** .....

Petitioner's signature/thumbprint (A person who cannot write must affix his/her thumbprint in the presence of a witness)

This note must be written and signed by a current Member of Parliament at the top of the petition itself. (Submitting the petition with a covering letter from the Member is not sufficient.)

Ex: Disanayake Mudiyanseelage Padma Bandara  
No. 65/5, Piliyandala Road, Maharagama

If a previous petition has been filed in relation to the relief sought in this petition, it is preferable to include the number of that petition.

For example:- Getting my job back, which I lost while on formal leave.

For example:- I, Dissanayake Mudiyanseelage Padma Bandara, joined the Sri Lanka Police on 2015.01.01 as a trainee Sub-Police Constable (P.C. 147XX) and was serving in the Maharagama Police. On 2019.05.30, I formally applied for leave for 02 days and left the workplace due to serious illness. However, due to the worsening of my illness, I had to undergo inpatient treatment at the Kalubowila Teaching Hospital for 03 weeks. I informed the workplace that I was hospitalized by telegram on 2019.06.02. However, in a letter dated 2019.06.15, an order to leave the service has been issued to me with effect from 2019.06.02 (stating that I did not report to work without being informed). The receipt of the telegram, copies of medical records and other relevant documents may be submitted when necessary.

For example:- Hon. Speaker, I respectfully request you to look into this matter and make arrangements to reinstate me. (Here, the relief sought should be specifically stated as shown in the example above, rather than simply stating that justice should be done or that relief should be